Predicting Player Trajectories in Shot Situations in Soccer

Per Lindström, Ludwig Jacobsson, Niklas Carlsson and Patrick Lambrix

Signality Inversity

- Motivation
- Method
- Results
- Conclusion

Motivation

- How would player X behave in a particular situation?
- What happens if we replace player Y?
- Find a player that behaves similarly to player Z

Motivation

- Is player behaviour latent information in their movement data?
- Given a tracking data set, is it possible to learn individual player movement patterns?

- Motivation
- Method
- Results
- Conclusion

Data

- Swedish top-tier league (Allsvenskan)
- First half of the 2019 season, which spans March-November
- 79 games
- 276 players
- 1 668 shots (193 goals, 1 475 non-goals)
- Tracking data for all players and the ball
- Data provided by Signality

Data

- Extracted 20 second sequences around shots
- 150 players with most played games
- 21 284 training sequences
- 5 188 validation sequences

Model

- Policy π
- State $x \equiv (s, c)$
- Action *a*
- Expert π^*

Model

Behavioural Cloning

 $\pi: s, c \to a$

• General Imitation learning

$$\pi: s_o, c \to \tau = \{a_0, s_1, \dots, a_{T-s}, s_T\}$$

Model

Behavioural Cloning

Distribution of states given by $\pi^* : P^* = P(x \mid \pi^*)$

$$\hat{\pi}_{\theta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P^*} \mathscr{C}(\pi^*(x), \pi_{\theta}(x))$$

General Imitation learning

Distribution of states given by π_{θ} : $P(x \mid \theta)$

$$\hat{\pi}_{\theta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P(s|\theta)} \mathscr{E}(\pi^*(x), \pi_{\theta}(x))$$

- Motivation
- Method
- Results
- Conclusion

Action comparison



Absolute actions

Error=9.01, *σ*=7.22m

Relative actions

Error=6.89, *σ*=5.84m

Window size

Window	Mean	Stdev	Conf interval
10	7.60	6.23	[7.47, 7.73]
20	7.14	5.70	[7.02, 7.26]
30	7.42	6.05	[7.30, 7.55]
40	7.72	6.04	[7.60, 7.85]
50	7.23	6.30	[7.10, 7.36]

CDF over time



Cross-evaluation

		Observed expert player									
		G1	D1	D2	D3	D4	M1	M2	M3	01	O2
Model player (Policy)	G1	3.56	8.33	7.82	10.22	10.96	8.83	11.54	10.01	10.02	7.75
	D1	7.1	6.86	6.46	7.96	7.63	7.35	9.51	7.28	7.82	7.22
	D2	6.71	8.05	5	7.25	7.77	8.03	10.04	8.01	8.19	8.75
	D3	4.63	7.85	5.63	7.19	7.74	8.13	8.69	7.34	7.24	6.8
	D4	14.17	16.05	10.98	13.82	6.81	12.15	13.18	12.74	12.08	10.84
	M1	4.24	8.04	5.94	7.08	7.67	5.75	8.48	6.4	7.07	5.82
	M2	5.61	8.69	6.75	7.4	7.26	7.14	8.17	6.16	7.46	7.27
	M3	4.98	7.54	5.79	7.02	7.22	6.27	8.17	5.56	6.58	5.08
	O1	5.73	8.69	7.23	8.14	7.65	6.76	8.31	6.39	6.31	6.33
	O2	4.63	8.22	7.92	8.99	8.62	8.75	9.9	8.06	8.74	5.6

Rollout example



Defensive policy

Offensive policy

- Motivation
- Method
- Results
- Conclusion

Conclusion

- It is possible to learn the behaviour of individual players given their movement data
- Future research
 - Extend to more types of situations
 - Multi-agent modelling
 - Extrinsic measurement such as expected goals

Thank you Questions?

Contact: perlindstroem@gmail.com