#### Green Domino Incentives: Impact of Energy-aware Adaptive Link Rate Policies in Routers

Cyriac James University of Calgary Canada Niklas Carlsson Linköping University Sweden

Presented by Martin Arlitt, HP Labs







#### Motivation

- Energy savings in Internet routers
  - Over-provisioned to meet peak traffic
  - Hence, often under utilized
- Effect on downstream routers
  - Positive or negative
  - Energy and Delay



#### Contribution

#### Evaluation Framework

- Router Model
- Policy Model
- Energy Model
- Traffic Model
- Trace based simulation
  - Capture real traffic characteristics
- Analysis on immediate downstream router
  - Delay
  - Improvement in energy savings

## Adaptive Link Rate (ALR)

- Energy saving techniques
  - Rate scaling
  - Active/idle toggling
    - IEEE 802.3az
  - Commercial
    - Cisco Catalyst 4500E Switch



48-port Line Card (Photo Courtesy: Cisco)



Symbolic representation of port operation

## Policy Parameters & Delay



- Rate scaling
  - Service rate or port speed
  - Reduction in speed Energy Savings
- Active/Idle Toggling
  - Queue threshold

#### **Evaluation Framework**



# Policy Model

- Tail delay (99<sup>th</sup> percentile)
  - Between .01ms and 100ms
- Vary policy parameters
  - Port rate
  - Queue threshold
- Hybrid
  - Port rate
  - Queue threshold < Smallest packet</li>

#### Router Model

- Delay
  - Switch Fabric
  - Queue
  - Transmit
- Model by Hohn et al. 2009
  - Switch fabric delay: 10 50 microseconds
  - Delay constraints in milliseconds
  - Delay = Queue delay + Transmit delay
  - Infinite queue
  - Tail delay



Router

# Energy Model

- Proportional Model
- Interested in Relative energy consumption
- NOT absolute
- Relative increase/decrease in energy savings
  - At R2, R3 and R4
    - **R1** runs green techniques
    - R1 does not





#### Simple Back-to-Back Case

R2

12

R1

- Past studies on tandem queues
  - Increased delay at R2 for (utilization < 60%)</li>
  - Continuous and independent service time



#### **Bimodal Distribution**



- Most packet sizes are either small (<100 bytes) or large (>1400 bytes)
- Incoming edge traffic has more large packets

#### Back-to-Back Probability

Small:<= 100 byes Large: >=1400 bytes Medium: > 100 and < 1400

	Small	Medium	Large
Small	0.39	0.11	0.04
Medium	0.10	0.06	0.03
Large	0.05	0.02	0.20

Edge, Outgoing

	Small	Medium	Large
Small	0.23	0.05	0.07
Medium	0.04	0.02	0.04
Large	0.08	0.03	0.45

#### Core, one direction

14

#### Example Scenario



- Small packet has negligible processing delay
- Small packet experience larger delay at R2 than R1

#### **Proportional Energy Savings**

- Reduced delay at R2 More energy savings at R2
- Increase in multiplexing impact energy savings
- Relative savings at R2?



## Cascading (Domino) Effect



- Improvement in energy savings
  - Rate Scaling: Up to 35%
  - Active/Idle Toggling: Up to 15%

#### Hybrid Case



- Improvement of up to 10% observed for hybrid
- Multiplexing reduces improvement in all three classes of algorithms

#### Conclusion

- Performance evaluation framework
- Trace based analysis
- Effect of ALR policies on neighboring routers
  - Cascading (domino) energy improvement
  - Up to 30% energy savings (rate scaling)
  - Influenced by traffic characteristics
- Future Work:
  - Variability
  - Large scale deployment study
  - Interactions with higher layer protocols & applications

#### Thank You





UNIVERSITY OF

Linköping University

Green Domino Incentives: Impact of Energy-aware Adaptive Link Rate Policies in Routers

Cyriac James University of Calgary Canada <u>cyriac.james@ucalgary.ca</u> Niklas Carlsson Linköping University Sweden nikca@ida.liu.se