## CAUSAL INFERENCE WITH GRAPHICAL MODELS

## LAB

JOSE M. PEÑA STIMA, IDA, LIU

To be done in pairs. Submission: By e-mail to jose.m.pena@liu.se. Deadline: See the course website.

(1) Consider the following causal model:

 $\begin{array}{c} Genotype \\ \overbrace{Smoking \longrightarrow Tar \longrightarrow Cancer} \end{array}$ 

where *Genotype* is unobserved. Add to the model the variables *Education*, *Age* and *ParentalEducation* where the last two are unobserved. Add to the model the causal relations that you consider appropriate between the variables in each of the following pairs: (E,S), (E,C), (A,E), (A,S), (PE,E) and (PE,C). Project the resulting model onto the observed variables. Use the R package causaleffect to determine if the causal effect p(C|do(s)) is identifiable. If so, report the corresponding expression.

- (2) Repeat the exercise 1 without the variable PE and its associated relations. Check if you obtain the same result and explain why or why not.
- (3) Assume that we know that a natural phenomenon follows either the causal model

$$x = u_X$$
$$y = \alpha x + u_Y$$

with  $U_X \perp U_Y | \varnothing$ , or the causal model

 $\begin{aligned} x &= \beta y + w_X \\ y &= w_Y \end{aligned}$ 

with  $W_X \perp W_Y | \varnothing$ . Moreover, assume that  $U_X, U_Y, W_X$  and  $W_Y$  are normally distributed with unknown mean and variance each. Can observational data distinguish the two models ? If not, can interventional data do so ? If so, how many interventions (i.e., do(x) or do(y)) are needed ?

- (4) Repeat the exercise 3 assuming that  $\alpha, \beta \ge 1$ . Hint: Check the variances of X and Y.
- (5) Repeat the exercise 3 assuming that  $U_X$  and  $U_Y$  have equal variance, and  $W_X$  and  $W_Y$  have also equal variance. Hint: Check the variances of X and Y.
- (6) There is a treatment T for the disease D. However, the effectiveness of T depends on whether the patient has a rare condition C or not. Unfortunately, there is no way to know whether a patient has the condition or not. Specifically, the survival of a patient

(in years) is as follows:

$$S|T, C \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(4, 1) \text{ if } T = 0 \text{ and } C = 0\\ \mathcal{N}(8, 1) \text{ if } T = 0 \text{ and } C = 1\\ \mathcal{N}(7, 1) \text{ if } T = 1 \text{ and } C = 0\\ \mathcal{N}(5, 1) \text{ if } T = 1 \text{ and } C = 1 \end{cases}$$

and p(C = 0) = 0.9. Mr. P suffered the disease, and his doctor decided to administer him the treatment. Mr. P died after 4.5 years. Would Mr. P have lived longer (in expectation) had the doctor not administered him the treatment ? Did the doctor act optimally (in expectation) according to the knowledge available when she took the decision ?