Inter Technology Load Balancing Algorithm for Evolved Packet System

Marek Skowron, Suneth Namal, Jani Pellikka, Andrei Gurtov Centre for Wireless Communications University of Oulu, P.O. Box 4500, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland

marek.skowron@ee.oulu.fi, gkarunar@ee.oulu.fi, jani.pellikka@ee.oulu.fi, andrei.gurtov@ee.oulu.fi

Abstract—In this paper, we present an advanced load balancing algorithm for Evolved Packet System utilizing different radio interfaces. By using the fact that Evolved Packet Core can support, in addition to LTE also multiple other packet data technologies, such as WLAN, we can utilize this additional dimension for benefit of load balancing for future mobile broadband networks. The main goal of the algorithm is to minimize the number of unsatisfied users in the network and thus load balancing algorithm is only active if those are present. We show a significant performance boost in network resource utilization and average data rate per user when employing the algorithm.

Index Terms—EPS, load balancing, LTE, WLAN, FAP, Self-Organizing Networks.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years the amount of users using mobile services has grown very noticeably. It is said that by the year 2020 there will be a thousand-fold increase in mobile broadband traffic [1]. With such a huge growth in numbers we need to focus our attention on managing network users in wise manner. Load balancing (LB) is a novel concept in which we can transfer users between base stations for more balanced load distribution in order to maintain appropriate end-user experience and network performance.

LB is part of the Self-Organizing Networks (SON) solutions. The concept behind SON is to automatize the adjustment of parameters of the network in order to adapt to the current situation and network conditions and boost the performance. The main idea of LB is to transfer users from overcrowded cells to less heavily loaded, so it is possible to use radio resources more efficiently across the network. In this paper we are presenting a load balancing algorithm which could be used in future wireless networks. Algorithm can minimize the number of unsatisfied users in a network by means of proper load balancing and advance resource provisioning. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II briefly describes papers related to this work. In Section III evolution of core and radio access network is presented. Section IV focuses on different handover (HO) procedures supported by EPC. Section V presents our simulation environment. Section VI focuses on description of the load balancing algorithm. Section VII presents results of simulations. Finally Section VIII presents conclusions and future work related to this topic.

II. RELATED WORK

Load balancing algorithms have been studied in a number of papers. In [2] system level load balancing algorithm developed in SOCRATES project has been discussed and its network performance has been evaluated. In [3] authors present how a simple distributed intra-frequency load balancing algorithm based on automatic adjustments of handover thresholds can reduce call blocking rate and increase cell-edge throughput in LTE. In [4] authors propose an Autonomic Flowing Water Balancing Method, which detects overload conditions and adjusts handover hysteresis margin for eNBs and triggers handover behaviors to balance load. In this paper, we focus less on the adjustment of handover parameter and focus on improving the performance using other radio interfaces.

III. EVOLUTION OF EPS

The rapid growth of internet and packet data services in last few years called for a need for evolution of core network (CN). The CN of 3GPPs Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) has been under development for last few years. The progression of the core network is called System Architecture Evolution (SAE) and resulted in Evolved Packet Core (EPC). There are numerous benefits of SAE including flat architecture with less network nodes, smaller delays and bigger data rate support.

The radio access part has also been under development. This process is called Long Term Evolution (LTE) and the outcome is called Evolved UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN). As E-UTRAN is solely packet-data based, EPC also provides the IP connectivity to non-3GPP radio access network (RAN) domains such as WLAN or WiMAX. More detailed description of SAE and LTE can be found in [5].

The data flow in EPS, between EPC and different radio access technologies (RATs), is provided by two primary gateways. User data is transmitted from E-UTRANs base stations (eNodeBs) to EPC through Serving Gateway (S-GW). It is also an anchor point for intra-LTE mobility, as well as between GSM/GPRS, WCDMA/HSPA and LTE. Packet Data Network Gateway (PDN GW) is a user plane node connecting EPC to the external IP networks and non-3GPP services. Another important node is Mobility Management Entity (MME). It is responsible for managing all control plane functions related to subscriber and session management, assigning the network resources and handling, among others, handovers (HOs) [6]. The Figure 1 presents EPS architecture together with other supported RANs. Note that only key nodes for this paper are shown.



Fig. 1. Evolved Packet Core (EPC) architecture.

IV. MOBILITY IN EPS

In this paper the types of handovers we are focusing on are intra E-UTRAN (LTE), E-UTRAN to Femtocell and E-UTRAN to WLAN. First we are describing shortly a generic steps present in every type of handover and in later subsections we'll present each handover procedure in more details.

The main idea behind a handover (or handoff) is to maintain a continuous data session while being transferred to different cell. In every handover procedure there's a *source* cell, which UE moves from, and the *target* cell which UE moves to. The nodes in cells are also called accordingly.

In general, all handovers are divided into preparation and execution phase. During preparation phase target cell is informed about the handover and appropriate resources (if available) are allocated in both target RAN and core network. Execution phase can be further divided into execution and completion phases. During those phases downlink (DL) packets are buffered or forwarded to target cell. UE performs the handover and establishes connection with target RAN and core network. Source CN is informed of HO completion, forwards buffered packets to target CN and resources are released in source RAN.

A. Intra E-UTRAN

Intra RAT handover is performed between eNBs in E-UTRAN. In E-UTRAN there are two types of intra E-UTRAN handovers, intra and inter MME/S-GW. In the latter one a handover to different MME/S-GW is additionally performed. However for simplicity we'll focus only on the first case.

A benefit of SAE that hasn't been yet mentioned in the EPS description is the X2 interface. Through this interface eNBs in E-UTRAN can directly communicate between each other, without entities in EPC being involved. This communication is used among other in case of handovers. In the X2 based

handover source eNB (SeNB) and target eNB (TeNB) prepare and execute the handover, at the end asking MME to switch the DL data path from SeNB to TeNB. MME asks S-GW to switch data path towards new eNB. Packet forwarding is also done via X2 interface. Intra E-UTRAN handover is presented in Figure 2 [7].



Fig. 2. Intra E-UTRAN Handover.

As in generic description there are preparation, execution and completion phases present here. EPC entities are not involved in the preparation phase and only X2 interface is used. During preparation phase SeNB additionally establishes UL and DL data forwarding paths for U-plane traffic towards TeNB. In the execution phase SeNB forwards buffered DL packets from S-GW to target eNB. After UE has connected to TeNB, TeNB sends Path Switch Request to MME informing that UE has changed cell. S-GW switches the DL data path to the target side. It also sends End Marker to the SeNB to inform about the end of data transfer. TeNB sends UE Context release message to inform about successful handover. A more detailed description can be found in [7].

B. E-UTRAN to WLAN

LTE to WLAN mobility is a generic one (also called nonoptimized HO) meaning it is not optimized to any access technology. It is therefore easier to apply to any non-3GPP interface. There's also no interaction assumed between the two access networks. The most important in E-UTRAN to WLAN handovers is the preservation of the IP address to maintain the connection while being transferred between cells. Mobile IP has been designed by Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) to address this issue. With the help of Mobile IP UE is able to connect to other IP radio access while keeping the connection to home network (EPC) through tunneling of IP packets.

Mobile IP: There are two mobility concepts in EPS: hostbased (client-based) and network-based [8]. In the first one UE (host) is involved in mobility signaling and movement detection. The latter one means that the network is responsible for signaling and detection of UE movement.

Every device in EPS is assigned an IP address, which is part of a sub-network. In order to be able to receive packets while being in another network (e.g. when UE switches from 3GPP to WLAN) Mobile IP introduces Home Agent (HA) entity to PDN-GW. The function of HA is to associate the original IP address, Home Address (HoA) with the local address in the foreign network, Care of Address (CoA) and forward packets addressed to HoA to CoA. Route optimization (RO) is not supported in EPS which means that also uplink packets have to be sent via HA [8].

Mobile IP is specified for both IPv4 (Mobile IPv4 - MIPv4) and IPv6 (MIPv6). There also exists Dual-Stack Mobile IP (DSMIPv6) which supports dual-stack IPv4/IPv6 operation. Those protocols are host-based. An example of network-based protocol is Proxy Mobile IPv6 (PMIPv6). It was created for those UEs which don't have Mobile IP functionality and hence mobility agents in the network (which act as proxies) track the movement of UE and execute signaling of IP mobility instead of UE [8], [9].

In case of DSMIPv6, WLAN network assigns the UE new, local IP address. When dealing with untrusted WLAN network, meaning that 3GPP operator, which owns PDN GW and HSS, doesn't trust the security of WLAN, an IPSec encrypted tunnel has to be established between UE and Enhanced Packet Data Gateway (ePDG) - see Figure 1. The new local IP address is used as CoA within EPS. The exact procedure has been described in section 12.4.3 in [8].

Handovers between different WLANs are also possible while still having access to EPC, however it is outside of the scope of this paper. More details can be found in [8].

C. E-UTRAN to Femtocell

Femtocells are relatively small, support only small number of users (typically 2-6) and have coverage of only tens of meters. In this paper we consider femtocells supporting LTE access. In the future we can expect many Home eNBs (HeNBs) deployed with LTE support. The reason for this is that Femto Access Points (FAPs) are easier and cheaper to roll out that normal-size base stations and basically anybody can establish one. Thus it is expected that LTE will be rolled out in femtocells first [10].

We can distinguish three types of handovers including femtocells: hand-in (E-UTRAN to FAP), hand-out (FAP to E-UTRAN) and inter-FAP. In this paper we will focus on first two because inter femtocell mobility is out of the scope of this paper. Procedures for hand-in and hand-out handovers are presented in Figure 3 and Figure 4 respectively [11].

When describing mobility including femtocells a new node has to be introduced, namely Femto Gateway (Femto GW or HeNB GW). It is an intermediate node between EPC and HeNBs. Femto GW acts as a virtual eNB with eNB ID and as such is recognized by MME. However there's no X2 interface between Femto GW and other eNBs. This is one of the



Fig. 3. E-UTRAN to Femtocell handover.



Fig. 4. Femtocell to E-UTRAN handover.

main reasons for increased complexity as compared to Intra-LTE mobility. Therefore messages (e.g HO request messages) are routed through MME and Femto GW. This increases the signalling overhead associated with this type of handover. Complexity is also increased by admission control. Because of Closed Subscriber Group (CSG) authorization checks have to be performed during the HO, as not every UE has access to every FAP [11].

V. System Model

We have developed a Matlab based simulation model to evaluate our proposal on load balancing. The simulation environment consists of N_{eNB} LTE base stations and N_{UE} users. Additionally there are N_{WLAN} and N_{FAP} WLAN and Femto Access Points, respectively with random number of "own" (non LTE) users. For each time instant users move randomly across the map and handovers between eNBs are performed if needed, based on current location and signal strength from eNBs. In each time instant, the speed of the mobile user is selected from a range in-between 0-15 ms^{-1} alone a random direction. The signal strength is calculated using a path loss model.

Each user can be in one of three states: inactive state, meaning the UE is switched off and user is not connected to network at all; active state, meaning the UE is switched on, but there's no ongoing voice call nor data transmission (can be also called idle state); and connected state, meaning UE is turned on and an active IP data transfer is ongoing (voice calls in LTE are also IP based). At each time instant about 80% of users in the network are in active state and 30% of the users are connected (all connected users are also active users). From algorithm point of view we're focusing on connected users.

When switching to connected state, each user is assigned a random duration time of connection and desired number of physical resource blocks (PRBs). Number of PRBs allocated to the user determines its data rate. High number of desired PRBs means user wants to e.g. stream high definition video, while low number of PRBs means user only wants to make a voice call. For more details see [12].

Each eNB has a limited number of PRBs that can be allocated to its users. If eNB is using less than 80% of its resources, newly connected users are allocated their desired number of PRBs. If the 80% limit for the eNB is exceeded, users are only allocated minimum possible number of PRBs (which is 6 according to 3GPP specifications). This is done in order to save resources for future potential users (e.g. after handover from another eNB or switching from active to connected state). In that case, if user has a demand for more than the minimal value of 6 PRBs, they become unsatisfied.

VI. LOAD BALANCING ALGORITHM

The main goal of the algorithm is to minimize the number of unsatisfied users in the network and thus load balancing algorithm is only active if those are present. For each time instant, every user in connected state is selecting potential target eNBs (TeNBs), to which it can be transferred in case the serving eNB is overloaded. Potential TeNBs are those with SNR high enough to maintain current data rate of the user. Additionally if user is in a coverage area of WLAN AP or FAP, those are also considered as potential target cells.

For each eNB with unsatisfied users, algorithm tries to move the users to different, less loaded eNBs or APs. Users with high PRB usage are considered first in order to minimize number of handovers and signalling overhead associated with them. First algorithm considers handover to different potential TeNBs, since this type of handover uses least network resources. Potential TeNB with smallest amount of used PRBs is chosen in order to make the PRB load as "flat" as possible across eNBs. However if there are no potential TeNBs, or all potential TeNBs are overloaded, users will be transferred to WLAN AP or FAP, if they are in the coverage area. IEEE 802.11n standard provides higher data rate than LTE FAP, thus former is considered first. In case of high PRB load in eNB (above 70%) and user with high data rate (above 50 PRBs) handover to WLAN will be made (if possible) regardless of PRB availability in potential TeNBs.

There are no intra-WLAN or intra-FAP handovers, because the coverage of those do not overlap (for simplicity). We assume that all handovers are successful (if there are resources available in the target cell).

VII. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to evaluate the performance of the algorithm number of simulations have been performed. Each simulation has been run for 1000 time instants. Each of 12 eNBs can allocate up to 600 PRBs. According to 3GPP specifications each user can use between 6 and 110 PRBs [12], which means, that assuming that 30% of the users are in connected state, there are resources to satisfy about 450 users in the network (assuming each user in connected state uses about 50 PRBs which is an average value of the allowed PRB range). We have measured the number of unsatisfied users for increasing total number of users in the network and with increasing WLAN and FAP coverage. Additionally overall PRB usage in the network and average number of used PRBs per user have been measured. The results are presented in Figure 5, 6 and 7 respectively.



Fig. 5. Unsatisfied users in the network.

As we can see in the first figure, there is a clear decrease in number of unsatisfied users when employing only the load balancing algorithm. The performance further improves as coverage of WLAN and Femto APs increase. For 800 users, with 20% WLAN and 10% FAP coverage number of unsatisfied users drops by half and with 50% WLAN and 25% FAP coverage it is reduced almost five times.



Fig. 6. Overall number of PRBs in the network.

When looking at the second figure, we can see that resources of the network are used more extensively with LB algorithm, which means we can satisfy more users with the same available number of PRBs in the network. The number of used PRBs decreases as the WLAN/FAP coverage increases due to the fact that more users are being transferred to WLAN and Femto networks and don't use the EPC PRBs.



Fig. 7. Average number of PRBs per connected user.

Results depicted in the third figure also indicate evident improvement when using the algorithm. In case without the load balancing, average PRB number per user decreases constantly with increasing total number of users. Case with pure LB algorithm shows clear improvement in the range up to about 500-600 users and again as the WLAN and FAP coverage increases, so does the performance of the system. With high WLAN/FAP coverage there's almost twofold increase in average user data rate.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

As can be seen from results the presented load balancing solution is very beneficial. Addition of WLAN and Femto

access points brings very noticeable improvements to the performance of the algorithm and the system. The resources of the network are used in more intelligent fashion which results in much decreased number of unsatisfied users and almost doubled average data rate per user for high congestion case. Depending on the area some other radio access networks could also be included (e.g WiMAX or HRPD, especially considering North America or Asia).

Maximum simulated coverage of WLAN and FAP was 50% and 25% respectively, however in the future, especially in urban areas we can expect higher coverage than this, which will increase the performance of the algorithm even more. Of course we have to take into consideration that some WLAN APs or FAPs can be unaccessible (e.g. private femtocells in homes).

In current algorithm users are moving randomly across the map, regardless of which radio technology they are using. However in real life, if user is transferred to WLAN or FAP, they may want to stay in the coverage area, because of the increased available data rate there (e.g. in case of 802.11n which offers higher data rate than LTE), which again will be beneficial for the performance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The simulations have been performed in framework of Celtic MEVICO project. Authors would like to thank project partners for fruitful discussions and their valuable advice on writing this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nokia Siemens Networks, 2020: Beyond 4G. Radio Evolution for the Gigabit Experience, 2011, Nokia Siemens Networks White Paper.
- [2] A. Lobinger, S. Stefanski, T. Jansen, I. Balan, "Load balancing in Downlink LTE Self-Optimizing Networks," in 71st IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference Spring (VTC2010-Spring), May 2010.
- [3] R. Kwan, R. Arnott, R. Paterson, R. Trivisonno, M. Kubota, "On Mobility Load Balancing for LTE Systems," in 72nd IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference Fall (VTC 2010-Fall), September 2010.
- [4] H. Zhang, X. Qiu, L. Meng, X.g Zhang, "Design of Distributed and Autonomic Load Balancing for Self-Organization LTE," in 72nd IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference Fall (VTC 2010-Fall), September 2010.
- [5] Pierre Lescuyer, Thierry Lucidarme, Evolved Packet System (EPS). The LTE and SAE Evolution of 3G UMTS. John Wiley and Sons, 2008.
- [6] Alcatel-Lucent, Introduction to Evolved Packet Core, 2009, an Alcatel-Lucent Strategic White Paper.
- [7] 3GPP, 36.300 Technical Specification. Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN); Overall description; Stage 2, ver 10.5.0 (2011-11).
- [8] M. Olsson, S. Sultana, S. Rommer, L. Frid, and C. Mulligan, SAE and the Evolved Packet Core: Driving the Mobile Broadband Revolution, 1st ed. Elsevier, 2009.
- [9] S. Gundavelli, K. Leung, V. Devarapalli, K. Chowdhury, B. Patil, "Proxy Mobile IPv6," IETF RFC 5213, Aug. 2008.
- [10] L. Wang, Y Zhang, Z Wei, "Mobility Management Schemes at Radio Network Layer for LTE Femtocells," in *IEEE 69th Vehicular Technology Conference, VTC Spring*, April 2009.
- [11] A. Ulvan, R. Bestak, M. Ulvan, "Handover Scenario and Procedure in LTE-based Femtocell Networks," in *The Fourth International Conference on Mobile Ubiquitous Computing, Systems, Services and Technologies, UBICOMM*, October 2010.
- [12] 3GPP, 36.211 Technical Specification. Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Physical Channels and Modulation, ver 9.1.0 (2010-03).