

TDDA69 Data and Program Structure Declarative Programming Techniques

Cyrille Berger

Lecture content

- Recursion
- Function composition
- Verification

Lectures

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Concepts and models of programming languages
- 3 Declarative Computation Model
- 4 **Declarative Programming Techniques**
- 5 Declarative Computation Implementation
- 6 Declarative Concurrency
- 7 Message Passing Concurrency
- 8 Explicit State and Imperative Model
- 9 Imperative Programming Techniques
- 10 Imperative Programming Implementation
- 11 Shared-State Concurrency
- 12 Relational Programming
- 13 Constraint Programming
- 14 Macro
- 15 Running natively and JIT
- 16 Garbage Collection
- 17 Summary

Recursion

What is recursion?

A function is called recursive if the body of that function calls itself, either directly or indirectly.

Factorial: the classical example (1/2)

- Factorial in Haskell:

```
factorial :: Integral -> Integral
factorial 0 = 1
factorial n = n * factorial (n-1)
```
- Factorial in Common LISP:

```
(define (factorial n)
  (cond ((= n 0) 1)
        (t (* n (factorial
  (- n 1))))))
```

Factorial: the classical example (2/2)

- With a loop:

```
function factorial(n)
{
  var r = 1;
  for(var i = 2; i <= n; ++i)
  {
    r *= i;
  }
  return r;
}
```
- With a recursive call:

```
define factorial = function (n) -> r
{
  r = cond(n == 0, 1, n * factorial(n-1))
}
```

Recursion vs loops

- while(expression)
{
 do_something();
}
- define loop_something = function (args...) -> ret
{
 cond(expression, { do_something(); ret =
 loop_something(args...); }, ret = null);
}
- (define (loop_something args...) (cond (expression) value (t (do_something) (loop_something args...)))

Function calls

- Calling a function is usually more expensive than a loop
- In many programming language, the number of function call is limited by the size of the stack
 - `factorial(1000)`
RecursionError: maximum recursion depth exceeded in comparison
 - `sys.getrecursionlimit()`
1000
 - `sys.setrecursionlimit(1003)`
 - `factorial(1000)`
4023872600770937735437024339.....00000
- Tail-call optimisation

Tail-call

- A *tail-call* is a call to an other function performed as the last statement in a function
- Are those tail-call?

```
function foo0(data) {  
    a(data);  
    return b(data);  
}  
function foo1(data) {  
    return a(data) + 1;  
}
```

Tail-call optimisation

- In case of a *tail-call*, the execution does not need to return to the function, there is no need to save the function call on the stack
- Recursion without tail-call

```
def factorial = function (n) -> r  
{  
    r = cond(n == 0, 1, n * factorial(n-1))  
}
```
- Recursion with tail-call

```
def factorial = function (n) -> r  
{  
    def factorial_iter = function (product, n) -> r  
    {  
        r = cond(n < 2, product, factorial_iter(product * n, n-1))  
    }  
    r = factorial_iter(1, n)  
}
```

Python 3.6

```
1 def factorial(n):  
2     def factorial_iter(product, n):  
3         if n < 2:  
4             return product  
5         else:  
6             return factorial_iter(product * n, n-1)  
7     return factorial_iter(1, n)  
8  
9  
10  
11 print(factorial(5))
```

Print output (drag lower right corner to resize)

Frames Objects

Step 1 of 26

Rendered by Python Tutor
[Customize visualization \(NEW!\)](#)

State

- Can we have state when we cannot change a value in the store?

- Implicit state, consider

```
function f(s)
{
  f(s+1);
}
```

Function composition

Function composition

- Many operations on lists (or iterables) are very similar
 - modification, filtering, accumulation...

For each elements (1/2)

```
function lower_case(text) -> r
{
  r = lower_case_iter(text, 0);
}
function lower_case_iter(text, idx) -> r
{
  r = cond(r < length(text), lower(text[idx]) +
lower_case_iter(text, idx + 1), "")
}
```

For each elements (2/2)

```
function lower_case(text) -> r
{
  r = for_each(text, lower);
}
function for_each(val, func) -> r
{
  r = for_each_iter(val, func, 0);
}
function for_each_iter(val, func, idx) -> r
{
  r = cond(r < length(val), func(text[idx]) +
lower_case_iter(text, idx + 1), "")
}
```

Verification

When closure matters

- Filter a list:
function filter_small(list,
value) -> r
{
 r = filter(list, function(x) ->
 r {
 r = x < value;
 });
}

Correctness

- How can we tell a program is correct?
 - Test a few selected values, ie, unit
- In general, we need:
 - a mathematical
 - a specification of the
 - to reason using the model and

Verification and proving

- To prove a program correct, we must consider everything a program depends on
- In pure functional programs, dependence on any data structure is explicit
- The program can be correct but still give wrong results!
 - We need to verify compiler, run-time system, operating system, hardware!

Proving properties in functional programming

- `define power = function(b, n) -> r`
`{`
 `r = cond(n == 0, 1, b * power(b, n-1));`
`}`
- Claim: for any integer $n \geq 0$ and any number b , $\text{power}(b, n) = b^n$
- Proof:
 - 1) Verify the base case: $\text{power}(b, 0)$
 - 2) Assume that $\text{power}(b, n - 1)$ is correct
 - 3) Verify that $\text{power}(b, n)$ is correct assuming that $\text{power}(b, n - 1)$ is correct

Proving properties in imperative programming

- `function power(b, n) {`
 `int result = 1;`
 `for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i)`
 `{`
 `result *= b;`
 `}`
 `return result;`
`}`
- Devise a *loop invariant*:
 - $(n \geq i) \wedge (\text{result} = b^i)$
 - Prove that it is true for the first loop iteration
 - Prove that each loop iteration preserves it
Assume that $(n \geq i) \wedge (\text{result} = b^i)$
Prove that $(n \geq j) \wedge (\text{result} = b^j)$ with $j = i + 1$

Declarative Components (1/2)

- Declarative components are written using only pure functions
 - A declarative component can be written, tested, and proved correct independent of other components and of its own past history.
 - Programs written in the declarative model are much easier to reason about than programs written in more expressive models (e.g., an object-oriented model).

Declarative Components (2/2)

- Since declarative components are mathematical functions, algebraic reasoning is possible i.e. substituting equals for equals

Given $f(a)=a^2$, we can replace $f(a)$ in other equations, $b=7f(x)^2$ becomes $b=7x^4$

- The declarative model of chapter 4 guarantees that all programs written are declarative
- Declarative components can be written in programming models that allow stateful data types, but there is no guarantee

```
int f(int x) { return x * x; }
```

- `constexpr` in C++ allows to offer the guarantee

Conclusion

- Performance issues
- Verification is easier in functional programming
- Declarative components