Algorithmic Problem Solving Le 9 Number Theory

Herman Appelgren Dept of Computer and Information Science Linköping University

Outline

- Exercise 9: Strings II
 - A: Suffix Array Re-construction
 - B: Code Theft
 - B: Messages from Outer Space
 - <u>C: Life Forms</u>
- Number Theory
 - Primes (Lab 3.8)
 - Greatest Common Divisor and Least Common Multiple (Lab 3.4)
 - Modular Arithmetic (Lab 3.5)
 - Chinese Remainder Theorem (Lab 3.6-3.7)

Primes



- Definition: x > 1 is a prime if it isn't divisible by any integer other than 1 and itself.
 - Example: The first 8 primes are 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19.
 - Example: 2,147,483,647 is the largest prime that fits in an int32.
- <u>Fundamental theorem of arithmetic</u>: Each x > 1 can be uniquely represented as a product of primes.
 - This representation is called the *prime factorization* of x.
 - Example: 35 = 5*7, 54 = 2*3^3, 7 = 7
- Algorithms for testing if N is prime.
 - Naïve O(N) algorithm: Try to divide N by 2, 3, ..., N-1.
 - Improve to O(√N) by noting that if N isn't prime, at least one factor must be √N or less.
 - Improve to $O(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\log(N)})$ by only dividing by primes. Requires that all primes less than $\leq \sqrt{N}$ are known.

Sieve of Eratosthenes (Lab 3.8)

- Efficient algorithm for finding all primes ≤ N.
 - Initialize a bitset is_prime to true for all integers except o and 1.
 - For each integer i, if is_prime[i], add it to our list of primes and set is_prime[ik] = false for all k.
- Time complexity O(N log N)
 - If is_prime[p] = true, we have to mark $\frac{N}{p}$ integers as not prime.
 - The number of iterations of the inner loop is

$$\frac{N}{2} + \frac{N}{3} + \frac{N}{5} + \dots < N(\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{N})$$

- We recognize the sum of the harmonic series, which is in $O(\log N)$, so the time complexity is in $O(N + N \log N) = O(N \log N)$.
- Multiple optimizations possible
 - If we don't need the primes list, we only have to iterate $i = 2, 3, ..., \sqrt{N}$.
 - We can start marking with k = i, since all other multiples will already be marked.

GCD and LCM (Lab 3.4)

- Greatest Common Divider
 - gcd(a, b) is the largest value g such that a = mg and b = ng for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - Note than gcd(m, n) = 1, otherwise g * gcd(m, n) would be a greater common divider.
 - Example: gcd(6,9) = 3, gcd(33,121) = 11, gcd(7,15) = 1.
 - If gcd(a, b) = 1 we say that a and b are coprime (sometimes relatively prime or mutually prime), since they don't share any prime factors.
- Least Common Multiple
 - lcm(a, b) is the smallest value l such that l = ma and l = nb for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - As a direct consequence of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, lcm(a, b) = ab/gcd(a, b)
 - Example: lcm(12, 9) = 36, lcm(2, 3) = 6, lcm(5, 10) = 10
- Both are useful when implementing rational arithmetic in Lab 3.4.

Euclidean Algorithm

- 17
- **<u>Theorem</u>**: gcd(a, b) = gcd(a kb, b) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - Proof: Let *c* be a common divisor of *a* and *b*. Then *a* = *mc* and *b* = *nc*, so *a kb* = *mc knc* = (*m kn*)*c* and *c* is a divisor of *a kb*. Similarly, if *d* is a common divisor of *a kb* and *b*, then it must also divide *a*. Consequently *a*, *b* and *a kb* have the same common divisors, and in particular the same gcd.
- This observation is at the core of the *Euclidean Algorithm*:
 - Without loss of generality, assume $a \ge b$.
 - If b = 0 return a
 - Otherwise gcd(a, b) = gcd(kb + a%b, b) = gcd(b, a%b)
- Example:

•
$$gcd(175, 145) = gcd(1 * 145 + 30, 145) =$$

 $gcd(145, 30) = gcd(4 * 30 + 25, 30) =$
 $gcd(30, 25) = gcd(1 * 25 + 5, 30) =$
 $gcd(25, 5) = gcd(5 * 5 + 0, 5) =$
 $gcd(5, 0) = 5$

Modular Arithmetic (Lab 3.5)



- **Definition:** *a* is *congruent* with *b* modulo *m* if a + km = b for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - Equivalently: *m* divides a b, i.e. km = a b for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - Symbolically: $a \equiv_m b$ or $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.
- Arises naturally when modelling cyclic behavior, but is also central in cryptography and other fields.
- The remainder operator %
 - *a*%*m* is the remainder of *a* divided by *m*, i.e. the unique number 0 ≤ *r* < *m* such that *a* ≡_{*m*} *r*.
 - Note that this definition is different from most programming languages, where $-m \le a\%m < 0$ if a < 0.
 - a = a //m * a + a%m where // is integer division.
 - **<u>Theorem</u>**: If $a \equiv_m b$, then a%m = b%m.

Modular Arithmetic – Add/Sub



- **<u>Theorem</u>**: If $x \equiv_m a$ and $y \equiv_m b$, then $x + y \equiv_m a + b$.
 - Proof: x + km = a and y + jm = b, so x + y + (k + j)m = a + b.
 - Similarly for subtraction.
- Thus $(a \pm b)\%m = (a\%m \pm b\%m)\%m$.
 - By computing the remainder of the operands, we avoid intermediary values larger than 2m, which reduces the risk for overflow.

Modular Arithmetic – Mult



- <u>**Theorem:</u>** If $x \equiv_m a$ and $y \equiv_m b$ then $xy \equiv_m ab$.</u>
 - Proof: (x + km)(y + jm) = xy + (ky + jx + kjm)m = ab.
- We can thus use the same trick for multiplication, but the intermediary value is now on the order of m^2 . Can we do better?

Modular Arithmetic – Mult



- Idea: Use that ab = a(2k + d) = 2ak + ad where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \{0, 1\}$.
- Example: What is (64 * 25)%37?
 - 64 * 25 ≡₃₇ 64%37 * 25 = 27 * 25 This reduces the product, but it would still e.g. overflow an int8, even though the final remainder will fit nicely.
 - We recursively partition 25:

$$27 * 25 = 27 * (2 * 12 + 1) = 2 * 27 * 12 + 27$$

$$27 * 12 = 27 * (2 * 6 + 0) = 2 * 27 * 6$$

$$27 * 6 = 27 * (2 * 3 + 0) = 2 * 27 * 3$$

$$27 * 3 = 27 * (2 * 1 + 1) = 2 * 27 + 27$$

$$2 * 27 = 54 \equiv_{37} 17$$

- Now we backtrack and compute remainder along the way $27 * 3 = 2 * 27 + 27 \equiv_{37} 17 + 27 = 44 \equiv_{37} 7$ $27 * 6 = 2 * 27 * 3 \equiv_{37} 2 * 7 = 14$ $27 * 12 = 2 * 27 * 6 \equiv_{37} 2 * 14 = 28$ $27 * 25 = 2 * 27 * 12 + 27 \equiv_{37} 2 * 28 + 27 = 56 + 27 \equiv_{37} 19 + 27$ $= 46 \equiv_{37} 9$
- Using this algorithm, no intermediary values are larger than 2m, i.e. same as for addition/subtraction.

Modular Arithmetic – Exp

- 22
- Modular exponentiation a^b % m isn't included in the lab, but is still a useful algorithm.
- First attempt: Apply modular multiplication *b* times.
 - Pro: No intermediary values above 2*m*.
 - Con: *O*(*b*) time complexity.
- Improvement: Binary Exponentiation
 - We use that $b = b_0 2^0 + b_1 2^1 + \dots + b_n 2^n$ where $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $n = \lfloor \log_2 b \rfloor$.
 - This gives us $a^b = a^{b_0 2^0 + \dots + b_n 2^n} = a^{b_0 2^0} * a^{b_1 2^1} * \dots * a^{b_n 2^n}$. In other words, a^b is the product of n factors, each being the square of the one before, where b_i tells us if factor i should be included.
 - We thus have log₂ b factors, each taking log₂ m time to compute using modular multiplication, and similar to multiply them with each other.
 - Since we only use modular multiplication repeatedly, we still don't need intermediary values larger than 2*m*.

Modular Arithmetic – Inverse

23

- How about division?
 - Straight-forward definition doesn't work, since $\frac{1}{a}$ is not an integer unless a = 1.
 - Instead, we define $\frac{1}{a} = a^{-1}$ such that $a * a^{-1} \equiv_m 1$.
 - Note that a^{-1} depends on both a and m!
- The definition means that $a * a^{-1} + km = 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - This is a *diofantine equation* with unknowns a^{-1} and k.

Extended Euclidean Algorithm



- Diofantine equations are of the form ax + by = c where a, b and c are constants and x and y are unknown. All values involved are integers.
- There are either no solutions or an infinite number of solutions.
 - No solutions if gcd(a, b) doesn't divide c, since both sides must be divisible by the same numbers.
 - If x_0, y_0 is a solution, then $x_0 + bk/\gcd(a, b), y_0 ak/\gcd(a, b)$ are also solutions for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $a(x_0 + bk/\gcd(a, b)) + b(y_0 ak/\gcd(a, b)) = ax_0 + \frac{abk-abk}{\gcd(a,b)} + by_0 = ax_0 + by_0 = c$.
 - If we additionally require either x or y fall within a range (typically $0 \le x < b/ \gcd(a, b)$), then the solution is unique.
- The *Extended Euclidean Algorithm* solves diofantine equations.
 - Without loss of generality, assume $a \ge b$.

if
$$b = 0$$

```
if a divides c return x = c //a, y = 0 else return Impossible
```

else

 $x_0, y_0 = extended_euclid(b, a\%b)$ return $x = y_0, y = x_0 - y_0 * a // b$

Extended Euclidean Algorithm

25

- Example: 175x + 145y = 15
 - Call recursively extended_euclid(175, 145) extended_euclid(145, 30) extended_euclid(30, 25) extended_euclid(25, 5) extended_euclid(5, 0): 5 divides 15, so $x = 3, y = 0 \Rightarrow 5 * 3 + 0 * 0 = 15$
 - Reconstruct solution when backtracking extended_euclid(25, 5): x = 0, y = 3 - 0 = 3 ⇒ 25 * 0 + 5 * 3 = 15 extended_euclid(30, 25): x = 3, y = 0 - 3 * 30 // 25 = -3 ⇒ ⇒ 30 * 3 + 25 * (-3) = 90 - 75 = 15 extended_euclid(145, 30): x = -3, y = 3 - (-3) * 29 // 6 = 15 ⇒ ⇒ 145 * (-3) + 30 * 15 = -435 + 450 = 15 extended_euclid(175, 145): x = 15, y = -3 - 15 * 175 // 145 = -18 ⇒ ⇒ 175 * 15 + 145 * (-18) = 2625 - 2610 = 15
- All solutions are given by x = 15 + 29k, y = -18 35k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - E.g. $k = 1 \Rightarrow x = 44, y = -53 \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow 175x + 145y = 175 * 44 + 145 * (-53) = 7,700 - 7,685 = 15$

Systems of Congruences (Lab 3.7)

- Problem: Find all x that satisfy the two congruences $x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ and $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$.
 - Equivalently, x + jm = a and x + kn = b for some $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 - Subtract them to get jm kn = a b.
 - This is a diofantine equation with *j*, *k* unknown. It is solvable if gcd(*m*, *n*) divides *a b*, otherwise no solution exists.
 - Find *j*, *k* using Extended Euclidean, then x = a jm.
 - *j* is unique modulo $\frac{n}{\gcd(m,n)}$, so *x* is unique modulo $\frac{mn}{\gcd(m,n)} = \operatorname{lcm}(m,n)$. The two congruences taken together are therefore equivalent to $x \equiv a - jm \pmod{\operatorname{lcm}(m,n)}$.
- For more than two congruences, solve them pairwise and "compress" them using the solution above.

Chinese Remainder Theorem (Lab 3.6) (27

- <u>The Chinese Remainder Theorem</u>: Given a system of congruences $x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}, i = 1 \dots n$, where $gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ when $i \neq j$. Then one solution is given by $x = \sum a_i M_i y_i$ where $M = \prod m_i, M_i = M/m_i$ and $y_i = M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$.
 - Proof: All except the ith term of *x* contains a factor m_i , and $M_i y_i = 1 \pmod{m_i}$. Consequently $x \equiv 0 + \dots + a_i M_i y_i + 0 + \dots + 0 \equiv a_i * 1 \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$.
 - The solution is unique modulo *M* (proof omitted).
 - This is an important special case of the general approach presented before. Since $gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1$ it is always solvable and $lcm(m_i, m_j) = m_i m_j$

Chinese Remainder Theorem



Summary

- Exercise 9: Strings II
 - A: Suffix Array Re-construction
 - B: Code Theft
 - B: Messages from Outer Space
 - C: Life Forms
- Number Theory
 - Primes (Lab 3.8)
 - Greatest Common Divisor and Least Common Multiple (Lab 3.4)
 - Modular Arithmetic (Lab 3.5)
 - Chinese Remainder Theorem (Lab 3.6-3.7)