

Operator overloading

The following operator symbols can be defined by user:

```
+      -      *      /      %      ^      &      |      ~      !      <<      >>
=      +=     -=     *=     /=     %=     ^=     &=     |=     <<=     >>=
<      >      <=     >=     ==     !=     &&     ||
++     --     ->     ->*     ,      [ ]      ( )
new    new[ ]      delete    delete[ ]
```

The following can *not* be user defined:

```
::      .      .*      ?:
```

The following must be defined as *non-static member functions*:

```
=      [ ]      ( )      ->
```

This will guarantee that the left hand side operand will be an *lvalue* of the type in question.

Operator == for String

As *member*:

```
class String
{
public:
    ...
    bool operator==(const String& rhs);
    ...
};
```

As *non-member* (possibly as *friend*, if necessary):

```
class String
{
public:
    ...

    bool operator==(const String& lhs, const String& rhs);
};
```

Member or not?

```
s1 == s2
```

A binary member operator function have the left argument bound to **this**.

- written as an ordinary member function:

```
s1.operator==(s2)
```

- the left hand side operand must be a `String` object – **this** point to `s1`

A binary non-member function has both arguments as explicit parameters/arguments.

- written as an ordinary function call:

```
operator==(s1, s2)
```

- a non-explicit type converting constructor allows the left operand to be **const char[]** (**const char***):

```
"C++11" == s2
```

- a temporary object is in such case created, as explicitly done below:

```
String{ "C++11" } == s2
```

- if the constructor is **explicit** you must do it this way – explicit type conversion

Guidelines for deciding on, if an operator function should be a member or not will follow!

Friend or not?

A non-member operator function can be a **friend**.

```
class String
{
public:
    ...
    friend bool operator==(const String& lhs, const String& rhs);
    ...

    bool operator==(const String& lhs, const String& rhs);
};
```

Avoid, if possible (if there are public member functions that can be used to implement).

```
bool operator==(const String& lhs, const String& rhs)
{
    return strcmp(lhs.c_str(), rhs.c_str()) == 0;
}
```

Optimized versions – to avoid implicit type conversion and temporaries

There can be optimized versions for equality test with **char***.

```
bool operator==(const String& lhs, const String& rhs);

bool operator==(const String& lhs, const char* rhs);

bool operator==(const char* lhs, const String& rhs);
```

This allows the following equality tests, without any temporary objects being created:

```
String s1{ "foo" };
String s2{ "fie" };

char c3[]{ "fum" };

s1 == s2

s1 == c3

c3 == s1
```

Overloading operator[]

To be able to operate on both variable and constant objects, the indexing operator must be overloaded in two versions, non-**const** and **const**.

```
class String
{
public:
    ...
    char& operator[](size_type);           // for String

    char operator[](size_type) const;     // for constant String
    ...
};

String      s{ "foobar" };
const String cs{ s };

s[i] = s[i + 1];                         // non-const-version used in both places

s[i] = cs[i];                             // const-version used for cs
```

Implementation does not differ, only the return type and **const**.

More examples of operator overloading for class String

```
class String
{
public:
    ...
    String& operator=(const char*) &;           // type converting assignment
    String& operator=(std::initializer_list<char>) &;

    char& operator[](size_type);
    char operator[](size_type) const;

    String& operator+=(const String&);
    ...
};

String operator+(const String&, const String&);

ostream& operator<<(ostream&, const String&);
```

Overloading operator<<

Printing a String:

```
String s{ "foobar" };

cout << s << endl;
```

- **operator<<** can not be a member if we want to use infix notation, which we of course do.
 - left operand is an ostream, so it cannot be a member.
- built-in **operator<<** for **const char*** is used to implement.
- public member function **c_str()** is available, so **friend** can be avoided.

```
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const String& str)
{
    return os << str.c_str();
}
```

This signature for **operator<<** can be regarded as an idiom for overloading **operator<<** for an out stream an a user defined type **T**:

```
ostream& operator<<(ostream& os, const T& t);
```

Guidelines for making an operator function member or non-member

- **If** the operator is one of the following, it *cannot be overloaded*

. . * : ::

- **If** the operator is one of, it *must be member*

= -> [] ()

- **If** the operator
 1. can have another type as left-hand side argument, or
 2. can have type conversion for its left-hand side argument, or
 3. can be implemented only by using the class' public interface, make it a *non-member*, and, if needed in case 1 and 2, also make it *friend*.
- **If** it needs to behave *virtually*, add a virtual member function and implement it in terms of that member function (of interest for polymorphic classes)
- **Otherwise**, let the operator be a *member*.
 - but the following operators are natural to declare as members, since an object of the type in question should be left argument

*= /= %= += -= &= |= ^= <<= >>= ++ --

Some recommendations concerning operator overloading

- preserve natural semantics for operator functions
 - follow the same semantics as their built-in equivalents, whenever not contradicted
- take parameters appropriately by *value*, *reference*, or *const reference*
- choose return type with extra care, if returning a class type
 - *lvalue* or *rvalue* semantics?
 - return *object* or *reference*? **const** or **non-const**?
- avoid overloading &&, || and , (comma operator)
 - built-in versions of these enjoys special treatment by the compiler
 - user defined overloads will be ordinary functions with very different semantics
- arithmetic and assignment operators comes in pair
 - if you overload +, you should also overload +=
 - they should be defined so that a+=b and a=a+b have the same meaning
 - a way to achieve this is to define + in terms of +=
- increment and decrement operators (++ and --)
 - both prefix form and postfix form (latter have an **int** dummy parameter) should be defined
 - define the postfix form in terms of the prefix form
 - prefer using the prefix form, if possible
- consider overloading to avoid implicit type conversions
- don't write code that depends on the evaluation order of arguments