
Computer examination in **TDDD38** Advanced Programming in C++

Date ???

Administrator

Time ???

Anna Grabska Eklund, 28 2362

Department IDA

Course code TDDD38

Teacher on call

Exam code DAT1

Christoffer Holm (christoffer.holm@liu.se)
Will primarily answer exam questions using the student client.

Examiner

Will only visit the exam rooms for system-related problems.

Klas Arvidsson (klas.arvidsson@liu.se)

Allowed Aids (tillåtna hjälpmedel)

An English-* dictionary may be brought to the exam.

No other printed or electronic material are allowed.

The cppreference.com reference is available in the exam system, except for the language section.

Grading

The exam has a total of 25 points.

0-10 for grade U/FX

11-14 for grade 3/C

15-18 for grade 4/B

19-25 for grade 5/A

Special instructions

- All communication with staff during the exam can be done in both English and Swedish.
- Don't log out at any time during the exam, only when you have finished.
- Given files are found in subdirectory `given_files` (write protected). The exam will be available as a PDF in this directory at the start of the exam.
- Files for examination must be submitted via the Student Client.
- When using standard library components, such as algorithms and containers, try to chose "best fit" regarding the problem to solve. Avoid unrelated/unnecessary computations and unnecessary data structures.
- C style coding may cause point reduction where C++ alternatives are available.
- Your code should compile. Commented out regions of non-compiling code may still give some points. Resource leaks and undefined behavior is important to fix.
- Questions marked as *Discussion* is meant to be answered textually (`txt` or PDF). The answers to these questions must be passed in separately from the code.

Available commands

`e++20` is used to compile with “all” warnings as *errors*.

`w++20` is used to compile with “all” warnings. **Recommended.**

`g++20` is used to compile *without* warnings.

`valgrind --tool=memcheck` is used to find memory leaks.

C++ reference

During the exam you will have *partial* access to <http://www.cppreference.com/> with the chromium browser. You can start the browser by either running `chromium-browser` in the terminal or choose an appropriate option in the start menu. Do note that everything except `cppreference` will be unavailable. If you are unable to access a page that should be available (it might have been blocked by mistake) then you can send a message through the exam client. Since it is an experimental feature there might be some quirks.

Assignments

1. Note that this question is actually too big for actual points it has been assigned, on the real exam 3 points will mean less work. [3p]

When dealing with networks, binary files or similar situations where we require objects to be sent as binary data we usually make sure that we only send objects that are *Serializable*.


Serializable means that an object can be converted to and from strings (or a sequence of bytes). Usually when we want to send an object over a network, we first serialize it and then send the resulting string over the network. The receiver must then deserialize the string to get a copy of the object.

In this assignment we have two types of objects; those that are **Printable** and those that are **Serializable**.

Printable objects are those that can be written to an arbitrary **ostream**.

Serializable objects are those that can be serialized (converted to a string) and *deserialized* (constructed from a string).

You are to implement the following UML diagram:



Here we can see that both **Message** and **Integer** are both **Printable**, however; **Integer** is also **Serializable**. This means that **Integer** has *two* base classes (multiple inheritance).

Message Must have a constructor that initializes a data member of type **string** called **msg**. It must also override the **print** function.

print takes an **ostream** and prints **msg** to it (followed by a newline).

Integer Must have a constructor that initializes a data member of type **int** called **data**. It must override **print**, **serialize** and **deserialize**.

print should simply print **data** followed by a newline to the supplied **ostream**

serialize should convert **data** to a **string** and return the result.

deserialize should take a **string** and convert it to **int**, and then assign the result to **data**.

There are testcases in `given_files/program1.cc`. There are also two incomplete functions, **serialize** and **print** that you are supposed to complete (more details in the file).

2. **Discussion:** Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of the class hierarchy in the previous assignment. Focus on *readability*, *scalability* and/or *usability*: i.e. how easy is the code to understand for the reader, how easy is the code to change and how easy are the classes to use. Your answer should be around 200-1000 words. Give code examples. You don't have to cover all three aspects, but make sure to bring up at least one advantage and one disadvantage. [3p]
- Hint:** You could compare this to other ways to achieve the same thing.
3. The way the ternary conditional operator works is as follows: [5p]
- Given `(condition ? a : b)` it works such that if `condition` is `true` then `a` will be returned from the expression, otherwise `b` will be returned.
- Note that `a` and `b` doesn't have to be variables, but can be full expressions.
- As you might see, this can easily be replaced with an if-statement or a function (`std::max`) and *should* be replaced with those things in all but a select few cases. However; one peculiar property of the ternary conditional operator arises whenever `a` and `b` are different types.
- Since this counts as an expression it must return a singular type, but which one? The type of `a` or the type of `b`? The compiler will choose the type that can most accurately represent both types.
- Example:** The type of `(true ? 1.5 : 2)` will be `double` since *both* 1.5 and 2 can be represented accurately as `double`. `int` is not a valid alternative since 1.5 cannot be represented accurately as `int`.
- With this knowledge in mind, you are to implement a class-template called `common_type` which takes two template-parameters `T1` and `T2`. There should be a type alias called `type` defined inside `common_type` which is an alias for the type which can most accurately represent both `T1` and `T2`.
- You should also specialize `common_type` such that if any or all of `T1` and `T2` are `void`, then `common_type::type` is also `void`.
- Note:** `common_type::type` should *not* be a reference-type; `std::remove_reference` (defined in `<type_traits>`) might be useful to make sure that it is not a reference-type.
- There are some testcases given in `given_files/program3.cc`. Using the already defined `std::common_type` for this assignment is not acceptable.
- Partial credits will still be given if the `void` specializations of `common_type` are missing.
4. What do we mean when we say: *specializations does not participate in overload resolution* when talking about function templates? [1p]

5. **This assignment is slightly too large for its assigned point value.**

[4p]

The binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-k+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-k)}$$

is prevalent in many fields of computer science. It appears when working with combinatorics, polynomials, probabilities etc. However it is not obvious how to best calculate it since one have to multiply many numbers together and then divide two (probably) very large numbers to get the result. Most attempts will lead to integer overflow very quickly since we multiply a lot of integers.

There is a way to calculate this with minimized overflow risk by dividing each factor in the numerator with the factors in the denominator *before* we multiply everything together. There is a program that does this and some extra optimizations (for precision) in `given_files/program5.cc`.

In this assignment you are to refactor the given code so that it uses standard algorithms instead hand-written loops. You should use STL as much as possible. A full solution shouldn't require any standard iteration statements. Your solution should mimic the steps taken by the program as closely as possible.

6. **Discussion:** Answer the following questions:

[2p]

- a) Pick two STL algorithms (not `std::for_each`) from your solution of the previous assignment and explain why those two algorithms are *suitable* choices.
- b) Give an example of an algorithm that can only operate on *RandomAccess* iterators. Why do you think it only works for *RandomAccess* iterators?

7. In functional programming one of the fundamental operations are `filter` which allows us to iterate over a container and extract those elements that fulfill some kind of predicate (condition). [5p]

In this assignment you are to implement a class-template `filter` that stores a reference to a container and a *UnaryPredicate* function (a function that takes one element from the container and returns `false` if this element should be filtered out and `true` if it should be kept). `filter` will then provide an iterator range with iterators `filter_iterator` through the member functions `begin()` and `end()`. Dereferencing `filter_iterator` will return the current element in the container which fulfills the given predicate function.

`filter_iterator` must store an iterator range (2 iterators) from the container. This iterator range will be from the current element to the end iterator of the container. The increment operator must search for the next element that fulfills the predicate in the stored range. Note that the constructor must search for the *first* element that fulfills the predicate (if no such element is found, it should be the end-iterator) and let that be the current iterator.

`filter_iterator` is the *end-iterator* if the two iterators stored are the same (end-iterator of the container).

`filter` is a class-template with two template parameters; the container type and the predicate type. The constructor must take a constant reference to the container and an instance of the predicate function-object. `filter` should also have two member functions `begin()` and `end()` which returns `filter_iterator` corresponding to the begin and end of the container.

`filter_iterator` must be an *InputIterator* (https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/named_req/InputIterator). The comparison members should only examine the iterators stored in `filter_iterator`, it is not necessary to compare the predicate functions. Note that `operator->` must be implemented.

Example: The following program requires you to compile with C++17 (compile with `w++17`). This example should output 2 4 6 10.

```
vector<int> v { 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10 };
auto predicate = [](int n) { return n % 2 == 0; };
for (int n : filter(v, predicate))
{
    cout << n << " ";
}
```

A test program is given in `given_files/program7.cc`.

8. **Discussion:** As you might have noticed `filter` is our own implementation of the function `std::ranges::filter` which was introduced in C++20. Discuss advantages with this approach compared to the `std::remove_if + erase` idiom. In particular you can focus on *usability*, *readability* or *performance*. [2p]