Examination Formal Languages and Automata Theory TDDD85

(Formella Språk och Automatateori)

2020-06-04, 08.00 - 13.00

- 1. You may answer in Swedish or English.
- 2. Email your solution as a single PDF file to victor.lagerkvist@liu.se with the subject line "TDDD85: exam LiU-ID" where LiU-ID is your LiU-ID.
- 3. The maximum number of points is 30. The grades are as follows:

Grade	TDDD85
3	13–18
4	19 - 23
5	24 - 30

4. *Jour* (contact person): Victor Lagerkvist (victor.lagerkvist@liu.se, tel. +46730817584)

GOOD LUCK !

Make sure that you justify your answers! Unexplained answers will be granted 0 points. (For instance, if you are writing a grammar for a given language then you should also explain that the grammar indeed generates the language. If you apply some known method then you should explain each step. And so on.)

1. (2p) For each pair of regular expressions R_1 and R_2 below, answer whether they generate the same language $(L(R_1) = L(R_2))$. If no, give a string which belongs to one of the languages and does not belong to the other. If yes, prove that they are equivalent by (1) computing $L(R_1)$ and $L(R_2)$ as far as you can and (2) verifying that the two resulting sets are equal.

(a)
$$(a^*bc)^*$$
 $(bc+a)^*$
(b) $(ab+a)^*a$ $a(ba+a)^*$

- 2. (2p) Let the languages L_1 and L_2 be defined as follows:
 - L_1 is defined by the regular expression $(a+b)^*bba(a+b)^*$.
 - L_2 is the language of strings over $\{a, b\}^*$ containing the string ab.

Give a regular expression R such that $L(R) = L_1 - L_2$, *i.e.*, $L(R) = \{w \mid w \in L_1 \land w \notin L_2\}$. Explain your reasoning and why your solution is correct.

Hint: while it is possible to solve the problem by a systematic approach by constructing a DFA for $L_1 \cap \overline{L_2}$ and converting this DFA to a regular expression, it is *much* easier to construct the regular expression directly.

3. (4p) The NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \Delta, S, F)$ is defined as follows:

 $Q = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \qquad \Sigma = \{a, b\} \qquad S = \{0\} \qquad F = \{2, 3\}$

with the transition function Δ given by

		ϵ	a	b
\rightarrow	• 0	Ø	Ø	$\{1,3\}$
	1	Ø	{0}	$\{2\}$
	$2\mathrm{F}$	{1}	Ø	{3}
	$3\mathrm{F}$	Ø	$\{2\}$	Ø

Using the subset construction method, construct an equivalent DFA M. Explain each step of the construction. A table/figure without any explanation will be given 0 points. 4. (4p) Using the GNFA method, construct a regular expression defining the same language as the DFA whose transition function δ is given by

	a	b
$\rightarrow A F$	B	A
B	B	C
$C \ F$	A	B

Explain each step of the construction. Hence, when removing a state and updating transitions, motivate the new transitions by the $R_1R_2^*R_3 + R_4$ rule. Explain any simplifications that you make.

5. (4p) Construct the minimal DFA equivalent to the DFA given by the table below by using the marking algorithm (or a similar algorithm). Its set of states is $Q = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H\}$, the input alphabet is $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, the start state is A, and $\{A, E\}$ is the set of final states.

	0	1
$\rightarrow A F$	B	F
B	C	C
C	D	C
D	D	E
$E \ F$	F	F
F	G	C
G	H	G
H	D	A

Include all relevant calculations. In particular, if you in an iteration detect that two states are not equivalent, then you have to include the corresponding calculation.

6. (6p) Consider the language L generated by the context-free grammar

$$S \to \epsilon \mid aaSA \qquad A \to bb \mid bbb$$

where S is the start symbol, A and S are nonterminals, and a and b are terminals.

(a) Prove that L is not regular by using the pumping lemma for regular languages.

- (b) Prove that L is not regular by using the Myhill-Nerode theorem.
- 7. (4p) Consider the set of strings over $\{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$ containing an even, respectively odd, number of digits. Let E and O denote these languages. For example, ε , 00, 09, 99 $\in E$, and 5, 000, 083 $\in O$.
 - (a) Prove that E and O are context-free by constructing context-free grammars G_1 and G_2 such that $L(G_1) = E$ and $L(G_2) = O$.
 - (b) Are G_1 and G_2 LR(0)? Properly motivate your answer (a yes/no answer will not give any points).
- 8. (4p) Let L be a decidable language, L' a Turing-recognisable language, and X a finite, non-empty language.
 - (a) Prove or disprove that $L \leq_m X$ always holds.
 - (b) Prove or disprove that $L' \leq_m X$ always holds.

Recall that we write $L_1 \leq_m L_2$ if there exists a mapping reduction from L_1 to L_2 .