# Artificial Intelligence Planning 3: Delete Relaxation

Jendrik Seipp

Linköping University

based on slides by Thomas Keller and Malte Helmert (University of Basel)

Delete Relaxatior 00000

### **Questions?**

#### post feedback and ask questions anonymously at

https://padlet.com/jendrikseipp/tddc17

### Intended Learning Outcomes

- contrast normal STRIPS tasks with "delete-relaxed" STRIPS tasks
- **compute**  $h^{\text{max}}$ ,  $h^{\text{add}}$  and  $h^{\text{FF}}$  for delete-relaxed tasks
- **compare** the  $h^{\text{max}}$ ,  $h^{\text{add}}$  and  $h^{\text{FF}}$  heuristics

# **Delete Relaxation**

# **Planning Heuristics**

#### General Procedure for Obtaining a Heuristic

Solve a simplified version of the problem.

there are many ideas for domain-independent planning heuristics:

- abstraction → yesterday
- delete relaxation  $\rightsquigarrow$  now
- landmarks
- critical paths
- network flows
- potential heuristics

# **Planning Heuristics**

#### Delete Relaxation: Idea

Estimate solution costs by considering a simplified planning task where all negative action effects are ignored.

there are many ideas for domain-independent planning heuristics:

- abstraction → yesterday
- delete relaxation → now
- landmarks
- critical paths
- network flows
- potential heuristics

# Relaxed Planning Tasks: Idea

#### In STRIPS tasks, good and bad effects are easy to distinguish:

- add effects are always useful
- delete effects are always harmful

# Why?

# Relaxed Planning Tasks: Idea

In STRIPS tasks, good and bad effects are easy to distinguish:

- add effects are always useful
- delete effects are always harmful

### Why? more facts true $\rightarrow$ more actions applicable

# Relaxed Planning Tasks: Idea

In STRIPS tasks, good and bad effects are easy to distinguish:

- add effects are always useful
- delete effects are always harmful

#### Why? more facts true $\rightarrow$ more actions applicable

idea for designing heuristics: ignore all delete effects

# **Relaxed Planning Tasks**

#### Definition (relaxation of actions)

The relaxation  $a^+$  of STRIPS action a is the action with  $pre(a^+) = pre(a)$ ,  $add(a^+) = add(a)$ ,  $cost(a^+) = cost(a)$ , and  $del(a^+) = \emptyset$ .

#### Definition (relaxation of planning tasks)

The relaxation  $\Pi^+$  of a STRIPS planning task  $\Pi = \langle V, I, G, A \rangle$ is the task  $\Pi^+ := \langle V, I, G, \{a^+ \mid a \in A\} \rangle$ .

#### Definition (relaxation of action sequences)

The relaxation of action sequence  $\pi = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ is the action sequence  $\pi^+ := \langle a_1^+, \ldots, a_n^+ \rangle$ .

### Relaxed Planning Tasks: Terminology

- STRIPS planning tasks without delete effects are called relaxed planning tasks or delete-free planning tasks
- plans for relaxed planning tasks are called relaxed plans
- if  $\Pi$  is a STRIPS planning task and  $\pi^+$  is a plan for  $\Pi^+$ , then  $\pi^+$  is called relaxed plan for  $\Pi$

### Relaxed Planning Tasks: Terminology

- STRIPS planning tasks without delete effects are called relaxed planning tasks or delete-free planning tasks
- plans for relaxed planning tasks are called relaxed plans
- if  $\Pi$  is a STRIPS planning task and  $\pi^+$  is a plan for  $\Pi^+$ , then  $\pi^+$  is called relaxed plan for  $\Pi$
- h<sup>+</sup>(Π) denotes the cost of an optimal plan for Π<sup>+</sup>,
  i.e., of an optimal relaxed plan
- analogously: h<sup>+</sup>(s) cost of optimal relaxed plan starting in state s (instead of initial state)
- $h^+$  is called optimal relaxation heuristic

# Examples





- $\blacksquare V = \{at_{OL}, at_{OR}, at_{BL}, at_{BR}, at_{TL}, at_{TR}, in_{OT}, in_{BT}\}$
- $\blacksquare I = \{at_{OL}, at_{BR}, at_{TL}\}$
- $\blacksquare G = \{at_{OR}, at_{BL}\}$
- $A = \{move_{LR}, move_{RL}, load_{OL}, load_{OR}, load_{BL}, load_{BR}, unload_{OL}, unload_{OR}, unload_{BL}, unload_{BR}\}$





- pre(move<sub>LR</sub>) = {at<sub>TL</sub>}, add(move<sub>LR</sub>) = {at<sub>TR</sub>}, del(move<sub>LR</sub>) = {at<sub>TL</sub>}, cost(move<sub>LR</sub>) = 1
- $pre(load_{OL}) = \{at_{TL}, at_{OL}\}, add(load_{OL}) = \{in_{OT}\}, \\ del(load_{OL}) = \{at_{OL}\}, cost(load_{OL}) = 1$

...





- optimal plan:
  - 🚺 load<sub>OL</sub>
  - 2 move<sub>LR</sub>
  - unload<sub>OR</sub>
  - 🎱 load<sub>BR</sub>
  - 5 move<sub>RL</sub>
  - unload<sub>BL</sub>
- optimal relaxed plan: ?

■ 
$$h^*(I) = 6, h^+(I) = ?$$





- optimal plan:
  - 🚺 load<sub>OL</sub>
  - 2 move<sub>LR</sub>
  - Inload<sub>OR</sub>
  - 🎱 load<sub>BR</sub>
  - 5 move<sub>RL</sub>
  - o unload<sub>BL</sub>
- optimal relaxed plan: like optimal plan without move<sub>RL</sub>

■ 
$$h^*(I) = 6, h^+(I) = 5$$

### Example: 8-Puzzle



#### (original) task:

- A tile can be moved from cell A to B if A and B are adjacent and B is free.
- simplification (basis for Manhattan distance):
  - A tile can be moved from cell A to B if A and B are adjacent.
- relaxed task:
  - A tile can be moved from cell A to B if A and B are adjacent and B is free.
  - ...where delete effects are ignored (in particular: free cells at earlier time remain free)

### Example: 8-Puzzle



- actual goal distance:  $h^*(s) = 8$
- Manhattan distance:  $h^{MD}(s) = 6$
- optimal delete relaxation:  $h^+(s) = 7$

relationship:

 $h^+$  dominates the Manhattan distance in the sliding tile puzzle (i.e.,  $h^{MD}(s) \le h^+(s) \le h^*(s)$  for all states s)

# Exercise

Consider the STRIPS formalization of blocks world and the following task with blocks A, B and C, initial state  $I = \{on-table_A, on_{B,A}, on_{C,B}, clear_C\}$  (left stack in the picture below) and the goal

 $G = \{on-table_A, on_{C,A}, on_{B,C}\}$  (right stack in the picture below).



- Calculate the perfect heuristic values h\*(I) and h\*(I') for the initial state I and the only successor state I' of I.
- Onsider the STRIPS heuristic  $h^{S}$ . Calculate the heuristic values  $h^{S}(I)$  and  $h^{S}(I')$ .
- **(a)** Calculate  $h^+(I)$  and  $h^+(I')$ .
- Compare and discuss the results of exercise parts (a), (b) and (c). 12/14

### **Exercise: Solution**

The only successor state of I is  $I' = \{on-table_A, on_{B,A}, on-table_C\}$ .

- The following plan is optimal for *I*:  $\langle to-table_{C,B}, to-table_{B,A}, from-table_{C,A}, from-table_{B,C} \rangle$ . Therefore  $h^*(I) = 4$ . Since the plan starts with the action that reaches *I'*, we have  $h^*(I') = 3$ .
- The goal variables  $on_{C,A}$  and  $on_{B,C}$  do not hold in *I* nor in *I'*, so  $h^{S}(I) = h^{S}(I') = 2$ .
- To calculate  $h^+$ , we inspect the relaxed planning task  $\Pi^+$ . To reach *G* from *I* in  $\Pi^+$ , we need 3 actions: *to-table*<sub>C,B</sub>, *move*<sub>B,A,C</sub> and *from-table*<sub>C,A</sub>. Thus  $h^+(I) = 3$ . Since we already applied *to-table*<sub>C,B</sub> to reach *I'*, we have  $h^+(I') = 2$ .
- The STRIPS heuristic only changes between two states if a goal variable becomes true or false, so h<sup>S</sup>(I) = h<sup>S</sup>(I'). Since the STRIPS heuristic also ignores the actions, it underestimates the effort to reach the goal: h<sup>S</sup>(I) = 2 < h<sup>\*</sup>(s) = 4. In the delete relaxation, C remains clear even when moving B from A to C in the second step. This is not possible in the original task.

# Relaxed Solutions: Suboptimal or Optimal?

for general STRIPS planning tasks, h<sup>+</sup>
 is an admissible and consistent heuristic

# Relaxed Solutions: Suboptimal or Optimal?

- for general STRIPS planning tasks, h<sup>+</sup>
  is an admissible and consistent heuristic
- Can *h*<sup>+</sup> be computed efficiently?
  - it is easy to solve delete-free planning tasks suboptimally
  - optimal solution (and hence the computation of h<sup>+</sup>) is NP-hard
- in practice, heuristics approximate  $h^+$  from below or above