### TDDB44/TDDD55 Lecture 14: Compiler Frameworks and Compiler Generators A (non-exhaustive) survey with a focus on open-source frameworks

Peter Fritzson, Christoph Kessler and Martin Sjölund

Department of Computer and Information Science Linköping University

2016-12-09



#### Overview

- Part I Syntax-Based Generators
- Part II Semantics-Based Generators
- Part III Primarily Back-End Frameworks and Generators
- Part IV More Frameworks





## Syntax-Based Generators



#### Syntax-Based Generators

- Lex and Flex generates lexical analysers.
  - Clones and/or open source alternatives exist for many programming languages. Wikipedia has a reasonable overview.
- Yacc and Bison generates parsers
  - Can be used for syntax-directed translation
  - Usually syntax-directed translation is not used (if the compilation is not completely driven by the parser, it is something else)
  - Does not generate semantic analysis, intermediate code, optimization, or code generation
  - > YACC produces parser which are bad at error management.
- Very many alternatives exist, with the grammar specification either using an API in the programming language, EBNF, or something else. Many parser generators (such as ANTLR) allow the user to adapt the error handling routines.



## Part II

## **Semantics-Based Generators**



RML – A Compiler Generation System and Specification Language from Natural Semantics/Structured Operational Semantics

- Goals
  - Efficient code comparable to hand-written compilers
  - Simplicity simple to learn and use
  - Compatibility with "typical natural semantics/operational semantics" and with Standard ML
- Properties
  - Deterministic
  - Separation of input and output arguments
  - Statically strongly typed
  - Polymorphic type inference
  - Efficient compilation of pattern-matching

www.ida.liu.se/pelab/~rml - developed around 1999 and used in OpenModelica until 2014-10-25.



#### Generating an Interpreter Implemented in C, using rml2C



LINKÖPING UNIVERSIT

#### Generating a Compiler Implemented in C, using rml2C





### **RML** Syntax

Goal: Eliminate phletora of special symbols usually found in Natural Semantics/Operational Semantics specifications Software engineering viewpoint: identifiers are more readable in large specifications

A Natural/Operational semantics rule:

H1 |- T1 : R1 . . Hn |- Tn : Rn

if <cond> H |- T : R

Typical RML rule:

. . .

```
rule NameX(H1,T1) => R1 &
```

NameY(Hn,Tn) => Rn & <cond>

RelationName(H,T) => R



#### Example: the Exp1 Expression Language

Typical expressions

Abstract syntax (defined in RML):

datatype Exp

- = INTconst of int
  - PLUSop of Exp \* Exp SUBop of Exp \* Exp MULop of Exp \* Exp
  - MULop of Exp \* Exp DIVop of Exp \* Exp
  - NEGop of Exp



Figure: Abstract syntax tree of 12 + 5\*3



#### Evaluator for Exp1

Relation eval: Exp => int =

# Evaluation of an integer constant ival is the integer itself:

```
axiom eval( INTconst(ival) )
=> ival
```

Evaluation of an addition node PLUSop is v3, if v3 is the result of adding the evaluated results of its children e1 and e2. Subtraction, multiplication, division operators have similar specifications. (we have removed division below)

```
rule eval(e1) => v1 & eval(e2) => v2 &
int_add(v1,v2) => v3
```

eval( PLUSop(e1,e2) ) => v3

rule eval(e1) => v1 & eval(e2) => v2 & int\_sub(v1,v2) => v3

eval( SUBop(e1,e2) ) => v3

rule eval(e1) => v1 & eval(e2) => v2 & int\_mul(v1,v2) => v3

eval( MULop(e1,e2) ) => v3

rule eval(e) => v1 & int\_neg(v1) => v2

eval( NEGop(e) ) => v2

end

#### Simple Lookup in Environments Represented as Linked Lists

```
relation lookup: (Env,Ident) => Value =
  (* lookup returns the value associated with an identifier.
    If no association is present, lookup will fail.
    Identifier id is found in the first pair of the list,
    and value is returned. *)
  rule id = id2
```

lookup((id2,value) :: \_, id) => value

(\* id is not found in the first pair of the list, and lookup will recursively search the rest of the list. If found, value is returned. \*)

rule not id=id2 & lookup(rest, id) => value

lookup((id2,\_) :: rest, id) => value

end

(\* NOTE: Searching linked lists is slow. RML does not support fancy hash tables... \*)



## Translational Semantics of the PAM language – Abstract Syntax to Machine Code

PAM example program:

read x,y; while x<> 99 do ans := (x+1) - (y / 2); write ans; read x,y end Simple Machine Instruction Set:

LOAD	Load accumulator			
STO	Store			
ADD	Add			
SUB	Subtract			
MULT	Multiply			
DIV	Divide			
GET	Input a value			
PUT	Output a value			
J	Jump			
JN	Jump on negative			
JP	Jump on positive			
JNZ	Jump on negative or zero			
JPZ	Jump on positive or zero			
JNP	Jump on negative or positive			
LAB	Label (no operation)			
HALT	Halt execution			



#### PAM Example Translation

#### PAM example program:

```
read x,y;
while x<> 99 do
    ans :=
(x+1) - (y / 2);
    write ans;
    read x,y
end
```

#### Translated machine code assembly text

	GET	х		STO	T2
	GET	У		LOAD	Τ1
L1	LAB			SUB	Т2
	LOAD	х		STO	ans
	SUB	99		PUT	ans
	JZ	L2		GET	х
	LOAD	х		GET	У
	ADD	1		J	L1
	STO	Τ1	L2	LAB	
	LOAD	У		HALT	
	DIV	2			

#### Low level representation tree form

MGET (	I ( x )	)	MSTO (	T(2)	)	
MGET (	I ( y )	)	MLOAD (	T(1)	)	
MLABEL (	L(1)	)	MB(MSUB,	T(2)	)	
MLOAD (	I ( x )	)	MSTO (	I (ans	)	)
MB (MSUB,	N(99)	)	MPUT (	I (ans	)	)
MJ ( MJZ ,	L(2)	)	MGET (	I(x)	)	
MLOAD (	I ( x )	)	MGET (	I ( y )	)	
MB(MADD,	N(1)	)	MJMP (	L(1)	)	
MSTO (	T(1)	)	MLABEL (	L(2)	)	
MLOAD (	I ( y )	)	MHALT			
MB(MDIV,	N(2)	)				



#### Some Applications of RML

- Small functional language with call-by-name semantics (mini-Freja, a subset of Haskell)
- Almost full Pascal with some C features (Petrol)
- Mini-ML including type inference
- Specification of full Java 1.2
- Specification of Modelica 2.0

Mini-Freja Interpreter performance compared to Centaur/Typol:

primes	Typol	RML	Typol/RML
3	13s	0.0026s	5000
4	72s	0.0037s	19459
5	1130s	0.0063s	179365



#### Some Attribute-Grammar Based Tools

- JASTADD OO Attribute grammars
- Ordered Attribute Grammars
  - Uwe Kastens, Anthony M. Sloane. Generating Software from Specifications 2007 ©Jones and Bartlett Publishers Inc.
     www.jbpub.com



## Part III

## Primarily Back-End Frameworks and Generators



### LCC (Little C Compiler)

Not really a generator, but uses IBURG

- Dragon-book style C compiler implementation in C
- Very small (20K Loc), well documented, well tested, widely used
- Open source: http://www.cs. princeton.edu/software/lcc
- Textbook A retargetable C compiler [Fraser, Hanson 1995] contains complete source code
- One-pass compiler, fast





### LCC (Little C Compiler)

- C frontend (hand-crafted scanner and recursive descent parser) with own C preprocessor
- Low-level IR
  - Basic-block graph containing DAGs of quadruples
  - No AST
- Interface to IBURG code generator generator
- Example code generators for MIPS, SPARC, Alpha, x86 processors
- Tree pattern matching + dynamic programming
- Few optimizations:
  - local common subexpr. elimination
  - constant folding
- Good choice for source-to-target compiling if a prototype is needed soon





### Not a Generator, but wide-spread usage

- Gnu Compiler Collection (earlier: Gnu C Compiler)
- Compilers for C, C++, Fortran, Java, Objective-C, Ada, and more
  - sometimes with own extensions, e.g. Gnu-C
- Open-source, developed since 1985
- Very large (GCC 6.2.0 tarball is 835 MB)
- 3 IR formats (all language independent)
  - GENERIC: tree representation for whole function (also statements)
  - GIMPLE (simple version of GENERIC for optimizations) based on trees but expressions in quadruple form. High-level, low-level and SSA-low-level form.
  - RTL (Register Transfer Language, low-level, Lisp-like) (the traditional GCC-IR) only word-sized data types; stack explicit; statement scope

Many optimizations



 Version 4.x (since 2004) has strong support for retargetable code generation

- Machine description in .md file
- Reservation tables for instruction scheduler generation
- Many target architectures
  - Note: GCC is not a cross-compiling compiler and does not include a linker. It compiles code for a set of language, but only targets a single target platform. If you want to cross-compile code, you need to compile a linker and GCC targeting this platform (you have one GCC and linker toolchain installed for each target platform).
- Good choice if one has the time to get into the framework (and what you want is a compiler, not a development environment.

Note: Now has a new version numbering where 5.2 is really 4.10.2 and 6.0 is really 4.11.0 (in the old version numbering scheme).





Figure: Official LLVM *dragon* logotype. Inspired by the course book. Dragons, like LLVM, are powerful.





- "Low-level virtual machine", IR. LLVM is a backend framework.
- Mainly accessed through an API, and is suitable for integration in an IDE (such as Apple's XCode).
- Also comes with command-line tools, which can manipulate its IR (LLVM bitcode), including optimizing bitcode to produce an optimized bitcode file or generating an executable from bitcode.
- It includes:
  - Front-ends for C/C++/ObjC/OpenMP (clang), can use GCC as a frontend (dragonegg),
  - A debugger (11db).
  - A C++ standard library.
  - An experimental linker (11d).
- Third parties add more frontends, including for example the Julia language.



- Compiles to several target platforms (see llc --version)
  - LLVM is a cross-compiling compiler.
  - You only need one copy of LLVM installed to generate code for all supported platforms.
  - You probably still need a linker and headers for the target installed (lld is limited).
- Open source (BSD-license), originally developed at Univ. of Illinois at Urbana Champaign.



#### Open64 / ORC Open Research Compiler Framework

- Based on SGI Pro-64 Compiler for MIPS processor, written in C++, went open source in 2000. Discontinued in 2011.
- Several tracks of development (Open64, ORC, ...)
- For Intel Itanium (IA-64) and x86 (IA-32) processors. Also retargeted to x86-64, Ceva DSP, Tensilica, XScale, ARM, ... "simple to retarget" (?)
- Languages: C, C++, Fortran95 (uses GCC as frontend), OpenMP and UPC (for parallel programming)
- Industrial strength, with contributions from Intel, Pathscale, ...
- Open source: sourceforge.net/projects/open64/
- 6-layer IR:
  - WHIRL (VH, H, M, L, VL) 5 levels of abstraction
    - All levels semantically equivalent
    - Each level a lower level subset of the higher form
  - and target-specific very low-level CGIR



#### ORC: Flow of IR



#### Open64 / ORC Open Research Compiler

#### Multi-level IR

- Translation by lowering
- Analysis / Optimization engines can work on the most appropriate level of abstraction
- Clean separation of compiler phases
- ☺ Framework gets larger and slower

Many optimizations, many third-party contributed components



A commercial compiler framework primarily focused on backends www.ace.nl





#### Traditional Compiler Structure

Figure: Traditional compiler model: sequential process



Improvement: Pipelining (by files/modules, classes, functions)

Figure: More modern compiler model with shared symbol table and IR



#### A CoSy Compiler with Repository Architecture





- Modular compiler building block
- Performs a well-defined task
- Focus on algorithms, not compiler configuration
- Parameters are handles on the underlying common IR repository
- Execution may be in a separate process or as subroutine call the engine writer does not know!
- View of an engine class: the part of the common IR repository that it can access (scope set by access rights: read, write, create)
- Examples: Analyzers, Lowerers, Optimizers, Translators, Support



#### Composite Engines in CoSy

- Built from simple engines or from other composite engines by combining engines in interaction schemes (Loop, Pipeline, Fork, Parallel, Speculative, ...)
- Described in EDL (Engine Description Language) View defined by the joint effect of constituent engines A compiler is nothing more than a large composite engine

```
ENGINE CLASS compiler (IN u: mirUNIT) {
    PIPELINE
    frontend (u)
    optimizer (u)
    backend (u)
```



}

### A CoSy Compiler





#### Composite Engines in CoSy

- Component classes (engine class)
- Component instances (engines)
- Basic components are implemented in C
- Interaction schemes (cf. skeletons) form complex connectors
  - SEQUENTIAL
  - PIPELINE
  - DATAPARALLEL
  - SPECULATIVE
- EDL can embed automatically
  - Single-call-components into pipes
  - p<> means a stream of p-items
  - EDL can map their protocols to each other (p vs p<>)

```
ENGINE CLASS optimizer ( procedure p )
  ControlFlowAnalyser cfa;
  CommonSubExprEliminator cse:
  LoopVariableSimplifier lvs:
  PIPFLINE
    cfa(p):
    cse(p);
    lvs(p);
ENGINE CLASS compiler ( file f )
  Token token;
  Module m:
  PIPFIINF
  // lexer takes file ,
  // delivers token stream
  lexer( IN f. OUT token<> ):
  // Parser delivers a module
  parser( IN token <>. OUT m ):
  sema(m):
  decompose( m, p<> );
  // here comes a stream of procedures
  // from the module
  optimizer( p<> );
  backend( p<> );
```



```
34/40
```

#### **Evaluation of CoSy**

- The outer call layers of the compiler are generated from view description specifications
  - Adapter, coordination, communication, encapsulation
  - Sequential and parallel implementation can be exchanged
  - There is also a non-commercial prototype [Martin Alt: On Parallel Compilation. PhD thesis, 1997, Univ. Saarbrücken]
- Access layer to the repository must be efficient (solved by generation of macros)
- Because of views, a CoSy-compiler is very easily extensible
  - That's why it is expensive
  - Reconfiguration of a compiler within an hour





## **More Frameworks**



#### More Frameworks...

#### Cetus

- http://cobweb.ecn.purdue.edu/ParaMount/Cetus/
- C/C++ source-to-source compiler written in Java.
- Open source
- Tools and generators
  - TXL source-to-source transformation system
  - ANTLR frontend generator



#### More Frameworks...

#### Some influential frameworks of the 1990s

- SUIF Stanford university intermediate format, suif.stanford.edu
- Trimaran (for instruction-level parallel processors)
   www.trimaran.org
- Polaris (Fortran) UIUC
- Jikes RVM (Java) IBM
- Soot (Java)
- ▶ GMD Toolbox / Cocolab Cocktail<sup>™</sup> compiler generation tool suite
- and many others ...
- And many more for the embedded domain ...



### The End (?)

Now this is not the end. It is not even the beginning of the end. But it is, perhaps, the end of the beginning.

Do you like compiler technology? Learn more?

- Advanced Compiler Construction 9 hp (PhD-level)
- TDDD05 Component-based software 6 hp
- Thesis project (exjobb) at PELAB, 30/15/16 hp



W. Churchill

# www.liu.se

