



# Resource Management in Big-Data Clusters Mesos, YARN

#### **Christoph Kessler**

IDA, Linköping University

Christoph Kessler, IDA, Linköping University



### **Multiple Big-Data Programming Models Co-Exist**

 No single programming framework is optimal for all kinds of big-data applications



### Multiple Big-Data Programming Models Co-Exist

- Organizations would like to use the same cluster hardware for multiple programming frameworks, versions, and applications
- Sharing of data to be used across frameworks?
- Jobs: Both periodic production runs, development tests, and short ad-hoc queries
  - Most jobs are (relatively) short
  - Jobs consist of (many) tasks e.g. mappers and reducers
  - Most tasks are (relatively) short



Figure 1: CDF of job and task durations in Facebook's Hadoop data warehouse (data from [38]). Image source: Mesos, 2011

- Need a "cluster-wide OS" for sharing a cluster among different big-data frameworks and jobs that know basically nothing about each other
  - Fairness, priorities, scalability, protection
    - = Virtualization of cluster resources



## **Sharing a Cluster?**

MR = Hadoop MapReduce

Dedicated cluster for a single user, single application (e.g. MR)?  $\rightarrow$  Low utilization of expensive cluster resources

- Idea 1: Support multiple users and multiple MR jobs that time-share the cluster
  - Still one application type (here, MR) per node at a time
  - "Hadoop-on-demand"
    - Using a *batch scheduler* for cluster jobs

### Background: Batch Scheduling for Large Parallel Systems

- Batch queue systems (common in HPC)
  - e.g. Torque, Maui, Slurm
- Only 1 application (job) per node
- Parallel jobs
  - Job description: ask for N nodes together for M minutes each to run program A
- Ahead-of-time reservation of system partitions and time
- Load balancing etc. over this fixed set of resources is up to the programming framework's runtime system e.g. of Hadoop, Spark







## **Sharing a Cluster?**

Dedicated cluster for a single user, single application (e.g. MR)?
→ Low utilization of expensive cluster resources

- Idea 1: Support multiple users and multiple MR jobs that time-share the cluster
  - Still one application per node at a time
  - "Hadoop-on-demand"
    - Using a batch scheduler for cluster jobs

MapReduce computation structure



- → Utilization of cluster resources usually good during Map phases, but often not good during Reduce phases and I/O
- Idea 2: Time-share the same cluster nodes for multiple applications (job types / programming frameworks) to get better overall utilization (multi-tenancy)
  - Mesos, YARN



## Mesos, YARN

#### Idea:

Separate the resource management functionality from the programming model (and its runtime system)

- Can run *multiple applications* (e.g. multiple Hadoop MapReduce jobs) on the same cluster
- Can *mix* task executions from concurrent applications using *different frameworks* on same cluster, e.g. Hadoop MR (incl. different versions of it) and other frameworks (e.g. MPI)
  - $\rightarrow$  Diversity of programming models
- © Can reuse the resource management subsystem for different programming models
  - Cleaner software structure for the framework (e.g. Hadoop MapReduce) itself

C. Kessler, IDA, Linköping University



#### Mesos [Hindman *et al*. 2011]

- Mesos master process on one node manages all resources
- Mesos slaves (daemon processes) offer resources that are currently free
- Frameworks (e.g. Hadoop MR) submit requests for allocation and release of resources, to be approved/committed by Mesos master



Figure 2: Mesos architecture diagram, showing two running frameworks (Hadoop and MPI).



## **Mesos Example**





YARN = Yet Another Resource Negotiator

Split up: resource management (global), scheduling/monitoring (application-specific)



Image adapted from: Vavilapalli *et al.* 2013

- System components (blue)
  - RM Resource Manager, AM Application Master, NM node mgr.
- Two applications running (yellow: using MPI, pink: using Hadoop MR)

C. Kessler, IDA, Linköping University





- System components (blue)
  - RM Resource Manager, AM A
- Two applications running (yellow: usir

C. Kessler, IDA, Linköping University

#### Resource Manager (RM):

- A daemon process running on a dedicated cluster node (e.g. node 0)
- Periodically polls the node managers to check for liveness
- **Jobs** are submitted to the RM with resource requirements (e.g. share of 20% CPU time, ...)
- RM performs admission control, checks security credentials, and allocates (leases) a bundle of free resources on particular nodes, e.g. <2GB RAM, 1 CPU>, to a container (= virtual node) for the job's execution on each of these nodes.
- RM informs the Node Managers of these nodes about the lease.
- Job **state** is moved from new to admitted to running.



- System components (blue)
  - RM Resource Manager, AM –
- Two applications running (yellow: us

C. Kessler, IDA, Linköping University

#### Application Master (AM):

- The "head" of a job (e.g., a MR job)
  - a daemon process (virtual master node)
  - application / framework specific
- Manages all *intra-job lifecycle* aspects including dynamically increasing and decreasing resource allocation by issuing resource requests to the RM, *within* the lease obtained from RM
- Manages the flow of execution, e.g. dispatching Mapper and Reducer tasks in Hadoop MapReduce to the job's containers on the other nodes (= virtual worker nodes) assigned to it
- Handles faults and computation skew (straggling tasks) in a frameworkspecific way
- YARN itself makes no assumptions about the job's type of application (programming framework), this is entirely up to the AM
  - Only the protocols to communicate with RM and NM are fixed.





- System components (blue)
  - RM Resource Manager, AM Application Master, NM node mgr.
- Two applications running (yellow: using MPI, pink: using Hadoop MR)

C. Kessler, IDA, Linköping University

#### Node Manager:

- The "worker" daemon on each node
- Authenticates container leases, manages container dependences, monitors their execution, provides services to containers
- Deallocates containers on request from AM or RM, when work is finished or when their resources must be preempted for a new higher-priority job
- Monitors health of the physical node
- Log aggregation for the containers
- Handles node-local persistence of data that escape the lifetime of a job.



## **Summary: Cluster Resource Management**

- Cluster-wide "OS" for resource sharing across multiple concurrent jobs, programming frameworks, and their versions
- Virtualization of Cluster Resources
- 2-level resource allocation+management
  - Scheduling e.g. of mapper/reducer tasks to the resources assigned by the cluster manager is still done internally by each framework manager/master
- Mesos is offer-based, YARN is request-based



C. Kessler, IDA, Linköping University



#### References

- Benjamin Hindman *et al.*: Mesos: A Platform for Fine-Grained Resource Sharing in the Data Center. Proc. *NSDI'11*, USENIX, 2011.
- Apache Mesos: http://mesos.apache.org/
- V. Vavilapalli *et al.*: Apache Hadoop YARN: Yet Another Resource Negotiator. Proc. SoCC'13, ACM, 2013.
- Apache Hadoop YARN:
  - https://hadoop.apache.org/docs/r2.7.2/hadoopyarn/hadoop-yarn-site/YARN.html



## **Questions for Reflection**

- Why is it reasonable that Application Masters can request and return resources dynamically from/to the Resource Manager (within the maximum lease initially granted to their job by the RM), instead of requesting their maximum lease on all nodes immediately and keeping it throughout the job's lifetime?
  - Contrast this mechanism to the resource allocation performed by batch queuing systems for clusters.
- Explain why the Node Manager's tasks are better performed in a daemon process controlled by the RM and not under the control of the framework-specific application.