Minimizing System Modification in an Incremental Design Approach

Paul Pop, Petru Eles, Traian Pop, Zebo Peng

Department of Computer and Information Science
Linköpings universitet, Sweden

Incremental Design Process

- Start from an already existing system with applications
- Implement new functionality on this system

  Mapping and Scheduling

- To reduce design and testing time:

  As few as possible modifications of the existing applications

- After the new functionality has been implemented:

  It should be easy to add functionality in the future

Mapping and Scheduling Problem



Future applications

Do not exist yet at Version N!

Current applications

Map and schedule so that the future applications will have a chance to fit.

Existing applications

Modify (re-map) so that the current applications will fit.

Problem Formulation

Input

- A set of *existing* applications modelled using process graphs.
- A *current* application to be mapped modelled using process graphs.
- Each process graph in the application has its own *period* and *deadline*.
- Each process has a *potential set of nodes* to be mapped on and a *WCET*.
- The system architecture is given.

Output

- A **mapping and scheduling of the *current* application**, so that:
  Requirement a: constraints of the *current* application are satisfied and minimal modifications are performed to the *existing* applications.
  Requirement b: new *future* applications can be mapped on the resulted system.

Notes

- Hard real-time applications
- Static cyclic scheduling of processes and messages
- Time-triggered protocol, TDMA

Mapping and Scheduling Strategy

- Initial mapping and scheduling

- a) - Satisfying the constraints for the current application
  - Minimizing the modification cost

- b) - Prediction of success in adding future applications
  - Minimizing the objective function

"An Incremental Approach to the Design of Embedded Systems", DAC 2001

$$C = w_1^P(C_1^P) + w_1^m(C_1^m) + w_2^P \max(0, t_{need} - C_2^P) + w_2^m \max(0, b_{need} - C_2^m)$$

Characterizing Existing Applications



$R(\{\Gamma_7\})=20$, $R(\{\Gamma_3\})=50$, $R(\{\Gamma_3, \Gamma_7\})=70$,
$R(\{\Gamma_4, \Gamma_7\})=90$ (the modification of Γ_4 triggers the modification of Γ_7),
$R(\{\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3\})=120$, $R(\{\Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_7\})=140$, $R(\{\Gamma_1\})=150$, ...

The total number of possible subsets is 16.

Mapping and Scheduling, Requirement a)

- **Mapping and scheduling of the *current* application**, so that:
  Constraints of the *current* application are satisfied and
  minimal modifications are performed to the *existing* applications.

- **Subset selection problem**
  Select that subset Ω of existing applications which guarantees that the current application fits and the modification cost $R(\Omega)$ is minimized:

$$R(\Omega) = \sum_{\Gamma_i \in \Omega} R_i$$

Mapping and Scheduling Strategy

- Initial mapping and scheduling
- Requirement a)
  Minimizing the modification cost $R(\Omega)$, **subset selection**:
  - Exhaustive Search (ES)
  - Ad-Hoc Solution (AH)
  - Subset Selection Heuristic (SH)
- Requirement b)
  Minimizing the objective function:

  "An Incremental Approach to the Design of Embedded Systems", DAC 2001

Experimental Results



Conclusions

- Mapping and scheduling of distributed embedded systems for hard-real time applications.
- Incremental design process
  - Already existing system,
  - Implement new functionality,
  - a) Existing system modified as little as possible,
    b) new functionality can be easily added to the system.
- Mapping strategy
  - a) Subset selection to minimize modification cost,
  - b) Two design criteria, objective function.