Electronic Transactions on Artificial Intelligence 13
Vol. 1 (1997): *13-38*
http://www.ep.liu.se/ej/etai/1997/002/

Refereed Article

Paolo Liberatore:

The Complexity of the Language $\mathcal{A}$

Author's affiliation: Unversity of Rome 'La Sapienza', Rome, Italy.

Abstract: *In this paper we analyze the complexity of the language $\mathcal{A}$, proposed in [6] to formalize properties of actions. We prove that the general language is NP complete, thus intractable, and show some tractable (polynomial) subclasses of it. We also show how states that are unreachable affect the semantics of the language.*

Publication and review history

1. First version *published* by Linköping University Electronic Press on 4.7.1997 and permanently available at
   http://www.ep.liu.se/ea/cis/1997/006/
2. *Received* by the research area "Reasoning about Actions and Change" of the Electronic Transactions on Artificial Intelligence on 4.7.1997 (summary 8.7.1997). Posted on its web site on those days, and advertised in its News Journal on 31.7.1997.
3. Publicly *discussed* in the ETAI area of Reasoning about Actions and Change during July-October, 1997. The discussion protocol is available and will be retained in the Article Interaction Page at
   http://www.ida.liu.se/ext/etai/received/rac/001/aip.html
4. First version *accepted* after due refereeing and according to scientific journal standards by the Electronic Transactions on Artificial Intelligence (ETAI) on 21.11.1997. Comments by the referees are included in the Article Interaction Page.
5. Revised version, with minor corrections according to the suggestions of the referees, *published* by Linköping University Electronic Press on 18.12.1997 and permanently available at
   http://www.ep.liu.se/ea/cis/1997/006/
   under the label "Revised publication 1997-12-18".
6. Revised version appears in Electronic Transactions on Artificial Intellligence, Volume 1 (1997), Issue 1-3, pages 13–38. The issue and the annual volume are made permanently available at
   http://www.ep.liu.se/ej/etai/1997/
7. Paper editions of the ETAI issue and the ETAI volume containing this artice *republished* by the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences. For purchase of this edition, please refer to the URL mentioned in the previous item.

The review policy and the quality requirements for acceptance are documented at
http://www.ida.liu.se/ext/etai/info/

Article maintenance

The Electronic Transactions on Artificial Intelligence maintains an Article Interaction Page for this article at
http://www.ida.liu.se/ext/etai/received/rac/001/aip.html
Besides the publication and review history, it contains links for contacting the author(s), as well as to amendments and other related information for the article. It is intended to keep these links up-to-date in the future.

Copyright conditions

For all versions of the article mentioned above, the copyright belongs to the author. The publishing agreements specify that it is permitted for anyone to download the article from the net, to print out single copies of it, and to use classroom sets of copies for academic purposes. Please refer to the article URL:s for additional conditions.

Summary

ETAI authors are recommended that each article be accompanied by a summary. Longer and more specific than a conventional abstract, it should specify in concrete terms what are the new results in the article. If present, the summary also plays a role in the refereeing process: referees are asked to judge whether the results as specified in the summary *are of importance to the field, and whether the body of the article* gives sufficient evidence *for the claims made in the summary. – The Editor.*

The language $\mathcal{A}$ has been proposed in 1993 by Gelfond and Lifschitz to formalize properties of actions. $\mathcal{A}$ is an high-level language, and its syntax and semantics are similar to those of logic programming languages (with negation). For example, stating that a fact P holds after a sequence of actions $A_1, ..., A_m$ is denoted by P after $A_1; ...; A_m$

The main contribution of this paper is the analysis of the computational properties of $\mathcal{A}$. Namely, we prove that deciding the consistency of a set of statements in $\mathcal{A}$ is NP-complete. We also prove that entailment (deciding what is implied by a set of statements) is coNP-complete. These two results are strictly related.

The practical implications of these results are not necessarily negative. While it is strongly believed that NP-complete problems cannot, in general, be solved efficiently, they are easier than other problems in higher classes of the polynomial hierarchy. Many heuristics for solving NP problems (e.g. the problem of satisfiability of a propositional formula) have been developed. We explicitly reformulate the problem of consistency in $\mathcal{A}$ as the problem of satisfiability of a propositional formula. This is done by translating the set of statements of $\mathcal{A}$ into a set of clauses whose Clark's completion is satisfiable if and only if the original set of statements in $\mathcal{A}$ is consistent. Since Clark's completion of a set of clauses can be expressed as a propositional formula, this is indeed a translation of consistency in $\mathcal{A}$ into propositional satisfiability. Heuristics for SAT can thus be applied to the resulting propositional formula.

We analyze the computational properties of $\mathcal{A}$ when the language is restricted. The main result obtained is that an exponential number of initial states is a necessary condition to obtain the NP hardness. The number of actions and the number of effect of actions seemed at a first look to cause intractability. Indeed they do not, since restricting these numbers to be small does not lead to tractability. The two most significant tractable sublanguages of $\mathcal{A}$ are the one in which the initial state is fully known, and the one in which cause-effect rules have only one positive precondition and one positive effect.

The last problem analyzed in this paper is the effect of states that are not reachable from the initial state. In the original semantics of $\mathcal{A}$, unreachable states can affect the consistency of a set of statements, while intuitively they should not. We propose an alternative semantics that does not suffer from this drawback.