



UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

# Sequential Monte Carlo methods

## Lecture 6 – Auxiliary particle filters

---

Thomas Schön, Uppsala University

2021-08-17

**Aim:** Show how we can improve the proposals for the particle filter by using auxiliary variables.

## Outline:

1. Auxiliary variables
2. Ancestor indices as auxiliary variables
3. Improving the proposal distributions

# Auxiliary variables

**Target distribution:**  $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ , difficult to sample from

**Idea:** Introduce another variable  $U$  with conditional distribution  $\pi(u | \mathbf{x})$

The joint distribution  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, u) = \pi(u | \mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x})$  admits  $\pi(\mathbf{x})$  as a marginal by construction, i.e.,  $\int \pi(\mathbf{x}, u)du = \pi(\mathbf{x})$ .

Sampling from the joint  $\pi(\mathbf{x}, u)$  may be easier than directly sampling from the marginal  $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ !

The variable  $U$  is an **auxiliary variable**. It may have some “physical” interpretation (an unobserved measurement, unknown temperature, ...) but this is not necessary.

## ex) Auxiliary variables

Importance sampling setting with **target**  $\pi(\mathbf{x})$  and **proposal**  $q(\mathbf{x})$ . We **assume**  $\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x}) \leq q(\mathbf{x})$ .

To sample from  $\pi(\mathbf{x})$ , introduce an auxiliary variable

$$U \mid (X = \mathbf{x}) \sim \mathcal{U}(0, \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})).$$

**Joint target:**  $\pi(u, \mathbf{x}) = \pi(u \mid \mathbf{x})\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(u \mid 0, \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x}))\pi(\mathbf{x})$

**Joint proposal:**  $q(u, \mathbf{x}) = q(u \mid \mathbf{x})q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{U}(u \mid 0, q(\mathbf{x}))q(\mathbf{x})$

The weights are,

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}(u \mid 0, \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})) \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})}{\mathcal{U}(u \mid 0, q(\mathbf{x})) q(\mathbf{x})} = \mathbb{1}(u \leq \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})) \frac{q(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x}) q(\mathbf{x})} = \mathbb{1}(u \leq \tilde{\pi}(\mathbf{x}))$$

In fact, conditionally on  $\tilde{w}^j = 1$ , a sample  $\mathbf{x}^j$  is an exact draw from  $\pi(\mathbf{x})$  — referred to as **rejection sampling**.

## Auxiliary particle filter

---

# Sampling from the joint proposal

Sampling from the **joint proposal**  $q(x_t, a_t | y_{1:t}) = \nu_{t-1}^{a_t} q(x_t | x_{t-1}^{a_t}, y_t)$ :

1. Sample the auxiliary variable (**resampling**),

$$a_t^i \sim \mathcal{C}(\{\nu_{t-1}^j\}_{j=1}^N).$$

2. Sample  $x_t$  conditionally on the auxiliary variable (**propagation**),

$$x_t^i \sim q(x_t | x_{t-1}^{a_t^i}, y_t).$$

Repeat  $N$  times for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

Algorithmically, sampling from the proposal is done exactly in the same way as before!

## Computing the weights

The importance weights are given by the ratio between the **joint** target and **joint** proposal,

$$\tilde{w}_t^i = \frac{w_{t-1}^{a_t^i} p(y_t | x_t^i) p(x_t^i | x_{t-1}^{a_t^i})}{\nu_{t-1}^{a_t^i} q(x_t^i | x_{t-1}^{a_t^i}, y_t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The weights can be computed in  $O(N)$  computational time for quite arbitrary choices of  $\{\nu_{t-1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$  and  $q(\cdot)$ .

**Note that the resampling weights**  $\{\nu_{t-1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$

- can be different from the importance weights  $\{w_{t-1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ ,
- may depend on  $\{x_{t-1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$  as well as on  $y_t$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** Auxiliary particle filter (for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ )

---

**1. Initialization ( $t = 0$ ):**

- (a) Sample  $x_0^i \sim p(x_0)$ .
- (b) Set initial weights:  $w_0^i = 1/N$ .

**2. for  $t = 1$  to  $T$  do**

- (a) **Resample:** sample ancestor indices  $a_t^i \sim \mathcal{C}(\{\nu_{t-1}^j\}_{j=1}^N)$ .
- (b) **Propagate:** sample  $x_t^i \sim q(x_t | x_{t-1}^{a_t^i}, y_t)$ .
- (c) **Weight:** compute

$$\tilde{w}_t^i = \frac{w_{t-1}^{a_t^i}}{\nu_{t-1}^{a_t^i}} \frac{p(y_t | x_t^i) p(x_t^i | x_{t-1}^{a_t^i})}{q(x_t^i | x_{t-1}^{a_t^i}, y_t)}$$

and normalize  $w_t^i = \tilde{w}_t^i / \sum_{j=1}^N \tilde{w}_t^j$ .

---



## Selecting the proposals

---

## How do we select the proposals?

There is freedom in selecting the resampling weights  $\{\nu_{t-1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$  and proposal  $q(\cdot)$ . **How are they chosen in practice?!**

With  $\nu_{t-1}^i = w_{t-1}^i$  and  $q(x_t | x_{t-1}, y_t) = p(x_t | x_{t-1})$  we recover exactly the bootstrap particle filter.

Is it possible to select the proposals so that  $w_t^i \equiv \frac{1}{N}$ ?

## An alternative motivation for the APF

Recall that the bootstrap PF makes use of the following unnormalized target distribution

$$\tilde{\pi}(x_t) = p(y_t | x_t) \sum_{i=1}^N w_{t-1}^i p(x_t | x_{t-1}^i)$$

and propose particles according to

$$q(x_t | x_t^i) = \sum_{i=1}^N w_{t-1}^i p(x_t | x_{t-1}^i)$$

**Problem:** If the observation  $y_t$  is informative, the target and the proposal will be quite different, which results in unbalanced weights.

Reduce the problem by searching for a proposal distribution that is closer to the target, which leads to the introduction of an auxiliary variable  $A_t$  representing the mixture index.

## A few concepts to summarize lecture 6

**Auxiliary variable:** a variable by which the target distribution is extended to improve efficiency or enable sampling from the target.

**Ancestor index:** auxiliary variable used in the particle filter, representing one of the components in the mixture target distribution.

**Auxiliary particle filter:** particle filter explicitly using the ancestor indices as auxiliary variables.