Database Technology Topic 10: Concurrency Control

Olaf Hartig olaf.hartig@liu.se



Basic Concepts





- Remember that *schedules* may contain operations from multiple transactions
- How many operations from each transaction can be in one such schedule?

1. only one

- 2. at most 64 if we assume a 64-bit computer architecture
- 3. all of them
- 4. all of them but they must all come directly after one another (i.e., without operations from other transactions in between)





- Which of the following types of schedules is guaranteed to produce a state of the database that is correct? (assuming all transactions in such schedules have the consistency preservation property)
 - 1. serial schedules
 - 2. schedules with operations from only one transaction
 - 3. all serializable schedules
 - 4. all of the above





Conflict Equivalence





- Consider the following schedule
 S₁: b₁, r₁(X), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₁(Y), r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- How many pairs of conflicting operations are in this schedule?
 - 1. only one
 - 2. three
 - 3. four
 - 4. six







- Consider the following schedule
 S₁: b₁, r₁(X), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₁(Y), r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- How many pairs of conflicting operations are in this schedule?
 - 1. -only one-
 - 2. three
 - 3. four
 - 4. -six-







- Consider the following two schedules $S_1: b_1, r_1(X), b_2, r_2(Y), w_1(X), b_3, w_2(Y), e_2, r_1(Y), r_3(X), e_3, w_1(Y), e_1$ $S_2: b_2, r_2(Y), b_1, r_1(X), w_1(X), b_3, w_2(Y), e_2, r_3(X), r_1(Y), e_3, w_1(Y), e_1$
- Are these two schedules conflict equivalent?
 - 1. yes
 - 2. no
 - 3. that's a trick question because only operations can be conflict equivalent
 - 4. sorry, I don't know





Serializability





- Consider the following schedule
 S₁: b₁, r₁(X), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₁(Y), r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- Is this schedule serializable?
 - 1. yes
 - 2. no







- Consider the following schedule
 S₁: b₁, r₁(X), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₁(Y), r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- Is this schedule serializable?
 - 1. yes
 - 2. -no-







- Consider the following schedule
 S₁: b₁, r₁(X), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₁(Y), r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- Write down a serial schedule that is conflict equivalent with S_1





Database Technology Topic 10: Concurrency Control



- Consider the following schedule
 S₁: b₁, r₁(X), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₁(Y), r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- Write down a serial schedule that is conflict equivalent with S₁
 S₃: b₂, r₂(Y), w₂(Y), e₂, b₁, r₁(X), w₁(X), r₁(Y), w₁(Y), e₁, b₃, r₃(X), e₃







Database Technology Topic 10: Concurrency Control



- Consider the following schedule (which is a different one now!)
 S₄: b₁, r₁(Y), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- Is this schedule serializable?
 - 1. yes
 - 2. no





- Consider the following schedule
 S₄: b₁, r₁(Y), b₂, r₂(Y), w₁(X), b₃, w₂(Y), e₂, r₃(X), e₃, w₁(Y), e₁
- Is this schedule serializable?

1. yes

2. no, because its serialization graph contains a cycle





Database Technology Topic 10: Concurrency Control

Locking



- Consider the following situation:
 - transaction TA1 holds exclusive lock on data item D1
 - transaction TA2 holds shared lock on data item D2
 - transaction TA2 is currently waiting for shared lock on D1
- Now, TA1 wants to read data item D2
- Which lock does TA1 need, and will it get this lock immediately?
 - 1. shared lock; can get it immediately
 - 2. shared lock; will have to wait for it
 - 3. exclusive lock; can get it immediately
 - 4. exclusive lock; will have to wait for it



- Consider the following transaction (with lock operations):
 exclLock(X), r₁(X), w₁(X), unlock(X), exclLock(Y), r₁(Y), w₁(Y), unlock(Y)
- Is this transaction valid in terms of the locks that it needs to hold for the operations that it aims to do?
 - 1. yes
 - 2. no, because it needs to obtain shared locks as well
 - 3. no, because it needs to obtain all locks in the beginning
 - 4. no, because of both of the aforementioned reasons



- Consider the following transaction (with lock operations):
 exclLock(X), r₁(X), w₁(X), unlock(X), exclLock(Y), r₁(Y), w₁(Y), unlock(Y)
- Does this TA follow the two-phase locking (2PL) protocol?
 - 1. yes
 - 2. no



- Consider the following transaction (with lock operations):
 exclLock(X), r₁(X), w₁(X), unlock(X), exclLock(Y), r₁(Y), w₁(Y), unlock(Y)
- Does this TA follow the two-phase locking (2PL) protocol?
 - 1. -yes-
 - 2. no



- Consider the following transaction (with lock operations):
 exclLock(X), r₁(X), w₁(X), unlock(X), exclLock(Y), r₁(Y), w₁(Y), unlock(Y)
- Does this TA follow the two-phase locking (2PL) protocol?
 - 1. -yes-
 - 2. no
- Modify this TA so that it follows 2PL





Exercise

- Consider the following transaction (with lock operations):
 exclLock(X), r₁(X), w₁(X), unlock(X), exclLock(Y), r₁(Y), w₁(Y), unlock(Y)
- Modify this TA so that it follows 2PL
 - Option 1:

 $exclLock(X), r_1(X), w_1(X), exclLock(Y), unlock(X), r_1(Y), w_1(Y), unlock(Y)$

- Option 2:

 $exclLock(X), exclLock(Y), r_1(X), w_1(X), unlock(X), r_1(Y), w_1(Y), unlock(Y)$

- Option 3:

 $exclLock(X), r_1(X), exclLock(Y), w_1(X), r_1(Y), unlock(X), w_1(Y), unlock(Y)$

- etc.





www.liu.se

