Control Flow Analysis

[ASU1e 10.4] [ALSU2e 9.6] [Muchnick 7]

- necessary to enable global optimizations beyond basic blocks
- basis for data-flow analysis
- reconstruction of if-then-else, loops

from MIR, from unstructured source code or from target code

- ightarrow loops: candidates for loop transformations, software pipelining
- ightarrow if-then-else: candidates for predication
- identify basic blocks of a routine
- construct its flow graph / basic block graph
- 1. Dominator-based analysis
- 2. Interval analysis
- 3. Structural analysis

(iterative) (recursive) (recursive)

TDDC86 Compiler Optimizations and Code Generation — Control Flow Analysis. Page	ž	C. Kessler, IDA, Linköpings Universitet, 2009.
Control Flow Analysis – Running Example		
// Fibonacci - Iterative alg.		
unsigned int fib (// MIR,	flattened
unsigned int m) {	Щ	receive m
unsigned int f0 = 0.		<pre>// read arg value</pre>
$f_{1} = 1$	N	f0 = 0
f2, -;	ω	f1 = 1
; t (m <= 1)	4	if m<=1 goto L3
return m:	ហ	1 = 2
else {	6 L1:	if i<=m goto L2
for (1=2; 1<=m; 1++) {	7	return f2
f2 = f0 + f1;	8 L2:	f2 = f0 + f1
f0 = f1;	9	f0 = f1
$f_{1} = f_{2}$	10	f1 = f2
	11	1 = 1 + 1
return f2;	12	goto L1
~~ ·	13 L3:	return f2

 $\overline{}$

Example (cont.): Control Flow Graph



Detecting basic blocks

basic block (BB)

= max. sequence of consecutive statements (IR or target level) that can be entered by program control only via the first one and left only via the last one.

first instruction ("leader") of a BB: either

- + entry point of a procedure, or
- + branch target, or
- + instruction immediately following a branch or return
- call instructions need not delimit the basic block (ok for most cases, but not for e.g. instruction scheduling)
- exception-based control transfer not considered here

TDDC86 Compiler Optimizations and Code Generation — Control Flov	w Analysis.	Page 5	C. Kessler, IDA, Linköpings Universitet, 2009.
Basic-block graph			
Terminology: in [Muchnick'97]	called co	ntrol-flow graph	CFG,
rooted, directed graph $G = (N,$	E)		
nodes = basic blocks + entry -	+ exit		
edges = control flow edges from	m CFG/fl	owchart	
(there connecting BB + enter \rightarrow initial basi	exits to t ic block	he leaders of th	eir successor BBs)
+ final basic blocks (r	no succ.)	→exit	
successor BB's of a BB b: S	ucc(b) =	$\{n \in N : (b,n)\}$	$\in E \}$
predecessor BB's of a BB b:	Pred(b)	$= \{n \in N : (n, n)\}$	$b) \in E \}$



Page 6



Extended basic blocks, regions

Extended basic block (EBB)

- = max. sequence of instructions beginning with a leader
- that contains no join nodes other than (maybe) its first node
- ightarrow single entry, multiple exits, tree-like internal control flow
- ightarrow EBB also known as treegion

EBB's are useful for some optimizations e.g. instruction scheduling

Algorithm for computing the EBB's of a CFG: see e.g. [Muchnick 7.1]

Region

= strongly connected subgraph (SCC) of the CFG with a single entry

Page 9

Example (cont.): Extended Basic Blocks



Finding Loops

Programs spend most of the execution time in loops.

- ightarrow Optimizations that exploit the loop structure are important
- loop unrolling, loop parallelization, software pipelining, ...

Loops may be expressed in programs by different constructs (while, for, goto, ..., compiler-converted tail-recursion)

 \rightarrow Find uniform treatment for program loops

Use a general approach based on graph-theoretic properties of CFG.

Page 11

Graph-theoretic concepts of control-flow analysis (1)

depth-first search (dfs): recursively explore descendants of a node before any of its siblings (as far as not yet visited)

dfs-number: order in which dfs enters nodes

tree edges: edges followed by dfs via recursive calls

dfs-tree: (nodes, tree edges)

non-tree edges classified as

forward edges "F" back edges "B" cross edges "C"

not unique! depends on ordering of descendants

see also DFS-slides on course homepage



Page 12

Example: DFS-tree, edge classification



Graph-theoretic concepts of control-flow analysis (2)

preorder traversal of a digraph G = (N, E):

at each node $b \in N$ process b before its descendants (not unique; in dfsnum-order)

postorder traversal

at each node $b \in N$ process b after its descendants

strict dominance d sdom b if d dom b and $d \neq b$ *i* immediately dominates b (*i* idom *b*) dom is reflexive, transitive, antisymmetric \rightarrow partial order on N d dominates b (d dom b) idom(b) is unique for each $b \in N$ TDDC86 Compiler Optimizations and Code Generation — Control Flow Analysis. Given: flow graph G = (N, E), nodes $d, i, p, b \in N$ Dominance, immediate dominance, strict dominance if *i* dom *b* and there is no $c \in N$, $i \neq c \neq b$, with *i* dom *c* and *c* dom *b* if every possible execution path entry $\rightarrow^* b$ includes d \rightarrow (i)dominator tree, rooted at entry Page 14 C. Kessler, IDA, Linköpings Universitet, 2009.



Page 15

C. Kessler, IDA, Linköpings Universitet, 2009.

(Adapted from a nice presentation by J. Amaral 2003)







Page 19

Postdominance

p postdominates b (p pdom b)

if every possible execution path $b \rightarrow^*$ exit includes p

 $p \text{ pdom } b \iff$ b dom p in the reversed flow graph

Computing the dominators of a node

Algorithm 1:

 $a \operatorname{dom} b$

₩

i.e. $Pred(b) = \{a\}$. predecessor of b, change \leftarrow true; while (change return Domin $\mathsf{Domin}(r) \leftarrow \{r\};$ change \leftarrow false for all $n \in N - \{r\}$ // in dfsnum order $D \leftarrow \{n\} \cup \bigcap_{p \in Pred(n)} \mathsf{Domin}(p)$ if $D \neq \mathsf{Domin}(n)$ Domin $(n) \leftarrow D$; change \leftarrow true $\mathsf{Domin}(n) \leftarrow N \quad \forall n \in N - \{r\}$

9

(1) a = b,

(2) ∃ unique

immediate



(3) for all $c \in Pred(b)$

 $c \neq a$ and $a \operatorname{dom} c$.

9

namely a,

Page 21

Example: Computing dominators

B5 B6 C B6 C B4 C		B2 B3 B6	B		R1 B1	← B2	B	en	no
	;iŧ	0,						ntry	ode i
	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, exit}	{entry} change=true	Domin(<i>i</i>), init.
	{entry, B1, exit}	{entry, B1, B3, B4,B6}	{entry, B1, B3, B4,B5}	{entry, B1, B3, B4}	{entry, B1, B3}	{entry, B1, B2}	{entry, B1} change=true	{entry}	Domin(<i>i</i>), iter. 1
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	iter. 2

Г

Extension for computing immediate dominators

```
... compute Domin ...
```

```
for each n \in N
Tmp(n) \leftarrow Domin(n) - \{n\}
```

```
for each n \in N - \{r\} // in dfsnum order
```

```
for each s \in \mathsf{Tmp}(n)
                                                                                                                                                                            // if a s in Tmp(n) has a dominator t \neq s, remove t from Tmp(n)
                                                         for each t \in \mathsf{Tmp}(n) - \{s\}
if t \in \mathsf{Tmp}(s) then
```

```
for each n \in N - \{r\} // in dfsnum order
idom(n) \leftarrow the b \in \text{Tmp}(n)
```

 $\mathsf{Tmp}(n) \leftarrow \mathsf{Tmp}(n) - \{t\}$

Total time: $O(n^2 e)$ if sets are represented by bitvectors



C. Kessler, IDA, Linköpings Universitet, 2009

Page 23

Computing dominators

Algorithm 2 [Lengauer/Tarjan'79]

based on depth first search and path compression

time $O(e \log n)$ or $O(e \cdot \alpha(e, n))$

(see e.g. [Muchnick pp. 185-190])

Loops and Strongly Connected Components

We call a (backward, B) edge (m, n) a loop back edge if n dom m. Remark: Not every B edge is a loop back edge!

Natural loop of a loop back edge (m, n)

= subgraph of n and all nodes v

from which *m* can be reached without passing through *n*

n is the loop header.





c does not dominate $d \Rightarrow$ not a natural loop (2 entry points)



Page 26

TDDC86 Compiler Optimizations and Code Generation — Control Flow Analysis.

Identifying the natural loop of a loop back edge

Algorithm: Compute the loop node set for a given loop back edge (m, n)

- Start by marking *m* and *n* as loop nodes.
- Backwards from m, (df)search predecessors v,

stopping recursive backward search at already found loop nodes.



Page 28

Loop header, preheader

For technical reasons, add a pre-header (initially empty)

if the header has more than 2 predecessors:



ightarrow Easier to place new instructions immediately *before* the loop

Properties of Natural Loops

Two natural loops with different headers* are either disjoint

or one is nested in the other.

• Each natural loop is a SCC.

Background:

Strongly connected component (SCC)

= subgraph $S = (N_S, E_S)$, $N_S \subseteq N$, $E_S \subseteq E$,

where every node in V_S is reachable in S

from every other node in V_S via edges in E_S .

SCC's can be computed with Tarjan's algorithm (extension of dfs) in time O(|V| + |E|) [Tarjan'72]

See e.g. Muchnick 7.4 for more details. * Several loops sharing a common header node is a pathological special case that must be treated ad hoc.

Page 30

Properties of Natural Loops (cont.)

A SCC $S \subseteq V$ is *maximal* if every SCC containing S is just S itself.

Example:



 $S_1 = \{B1, B2, B3\}$ is a maximal SCC. $S_2 = \{B2\}$ is a SCC but not a maximal SCC.

Page 31

Reducibility of flow graphs

A flow-graph is reducible if all *B* edges in any DFS tree are loop back edges.

Intuitively: ... if there are no jumps into the middles of loops (e.g., goto's).





Reducible flow graphs are well-structured (loops properly nested).

Irreducible flow graphs are rare and can be made reducible by replicating nodes.

Interval analysis

- Divide flowgraph into regions (e.g., loops in CFA)
- Repeatedly collapse a region to an abstract node
- ightarrow abstract flowgraph
- \rightarrow nested regions (control tree)

Hierarchical folding structure allows for faster / simpler data flow analysis

Simplest variant: T1-T2 Analysis [Ullman'73]



Works only for very restricted flow graphs

Try to fold entire flow graph into a single node



Structural Analysis

is a special case of interval analysis:

- CFG folding follows the hierarchical structure of the program ightarrow folding transformations for loops, if-then-else, switch, etc
- Every region has 1 entry point
- Works only for well-structured programs
- Extensions to handle arbitrary flowgraphs

(define a new region / transf. for otherwise irreducible constructs)

- Equations etc. for dataflow analysis can be pre-formulated
- for each construct \rightarrow faster



cordingly (R8 and R9 merged with top level). Remark: If only loop-based regions are of interest, the hierarchy flattens ac-



Example (cont.): Structural analysis

Computing a bottom-up order of regions of a reducible flow graph

Output: A bottom-up ordered list R of loop-based regions of GInput: A reducible flow graph G

1. $R \leftarrow \{B1, B2, ...\}$ = all leaf regions, i.e., all single blocks in G, in any order

2. repeat

Choose a natural loop L such that,

if there are any natural loops L' contained within L,

then the (body and loop) regions for these L' were already added to R.

R.add(the region consisting of the body of L)

// body of L = L without the back edges to the header of L

R.add (the loop region for L)

until all natural loops have been considered

3. If the entire flow graph is not itself a natural loop

R.add(the region consisting of the entire flow graph).

Summary: Control-Flow Analysis

- Basic blocks, extended basic blocks
- Loop detection
- Dominator-based CFA
- Interval-based CFA
- Structural analysis