



Försättsblad till skriftlig tentamen vid Linköpings Universitet

Datum för tentamen	2017-08-16
Sal	
Tid	8-12
Kurskod	TDDD04
Provkod	
Kursnamn/benämning	Programvarutestning
Institution	IDA
Antal uppgifter som ingår i tentamen	
Antal sidor på tentamen (inkl. försättsbladet)	6
Jour/Kursansvarig	Lena Buffoni
Telefon under skrivtid	013-28 40 46
Besöker salen ca kl.	09:00
Kursadministratör (namn + tfnr + mailadress)	Anna Grabska Eklund
Tillåtna hjälpmedel	Ordbok

LiTH, Linköpings tekniska högskola
IDA, Institutionen för datavetenskap
Lena Buffoni

Written exam
TDDD04 Software Testing
2017-08-16

Permissible aids

Dictionary (printed, NOT electronic)

Teacher on duty

Lena Buffoni, tel: 013-28 40 46

Instructions and grading

You may answer in Swedish or English.

Your grade will depend on the total points you score on the exam. This is the grading scale:

Grade	3	4	5
Points required	50%	67%	83%

Important information: how your answers are assessed

Many questions indicate how your answers will be assessed. This is to provide some guidance on how to answer each question. Regardless of this it is important that you answer each question completely and correctly.

Several questions ask you to define test cases. In some cases you are asked to provide a minimal set of test cases. This means that you can't remove a single test case from the ones you list and still meet the requirements of the question. Points will be deducted if your set of test cases is not minimal. (Note that "minimal" is not the same as "smallest number"; even when it would be possible to satisfy requirements with a single test case, a set of two or three could still be minimal.)

You may find it necessary to make assumptions in order to solve some problems. In fact, your ability to recognize and adequately handle situations where assumptions are necessary (e.g. requirements are incomplete or unclear) will be assessed as part of the exam. If you make assumptions, ensure that you satisfy the following requirements:

- You have documented your assumptions clearly.
- You have explained (briefly) why it was necessary to make the assumption.

Whenever you make an assumption, stay as true to the original problem as possible.

You don't need to be verbose to get full points. A compact answer that hits all the important points is just as good – or better – than one that is long and wordy. Compact answers also happen to be quicker to write (and grade) than long ones.

Please double-check that you answer the entire question. In particular, if you don't give a justification or example when asked for one, a significant number of points will always be deducted.

Note: When I visit the exam, I will take a slow walk among all students, so you don't need to sit with your hand raised. Just call for my attention when I pass your desk.

1. Definitions (4p)

Describe the difference between a fault of commission and a fault of omission. Give an example of each.

2. Coverage criteria (6p)

- a) Is it possible to have code for which branch coverage and statement coverage tests are the same? Justify your answer. 2p
- b) Give examples of 2 artefacts other than code where coverage criteria can be applied. 2p
- c) Is 100% coverage a guarantee of test suite quality? Justify your answer. 2p

3. Defect classification (6p)

You are given the following description of a software defect:

“The application does not warn the user that they are running low on disk space allows them to edit the file. Once the user tries to save the file he is informed that this is not possible to do as there is not enough disk space left. The specification states that the application shall ensure sufficient space is available before attempting to save the file.”

Fill in appropriate values for the columns in the table below (copy to a separate paper first) when you classify the defect above.

Fault/Defect	Attribute	Value
	Asset	
	Artefact	
	Effect	
	Mode	
	Severity	

4. True/false (6p)

- a. A complete analysis cannot give false negatives
- b. Validation checks that a product meets the needs of the customer
- c. Performance testing tests not functional requirements
- d. Threads cannot be used for testing at system level
- e. The goal of software testing is to aim for completeness
- f. An error is the result of a fault in the specification

5. Black box testing (16p)

You are asked to test PaySafe an application for online payment security. When making a payment online the user receives a 4 digit identifier and has then one minute to input their personal code (a 8-12 digit numerical value) and this identifier in the application to authorize the payment.

First the user inputs the 4 digit commerce identifier. If this identifier is wrong the application returns code UNKNOWN_TRANSACTION. If the identifier is correct, the application asks for the user code. If the code is correct, the application returns OK and the payment is processed. If the code is wrong the application asks to reenter the code again. After 3 tries the application is blocked and returns BLOCKED. If the user takes more than one minute to enter the code and identifier, the transaction is timed out, the application returns TIMED_OUT and the user has to restart.

Follow a suitable test case design method in order to test the given method. Justify your choice of test case design method, and any assumptions you make about the system.

You can receive up to 8 points for an appropriate representation of the problem, and up to 8 points for an appropriate translation of your representation into test cases. An excessive amount of test cases (based on the choice of test case design method) will lead to a deduction of points.

6. White box testing (16p)

Given the code for the Fibonacci function below,

- create a set of basis paths for the code, and justify why your set is *sufficient*, (6p)
- create test cases for 100% *decision coverage* based on the basis paths (6p), choosing values that are interesting to test apart from helping you achieve 100% decision coverage, and
- explain how Symbolic Execution may be used one the given method to generate possible test cases. Also, explain how *path constraints* work when generating test cases, and state whether the test cases would be complete or require additional code after they have been generated. (4p)

```
public static long fibonacci(int n) {  
    if (n <= 1) return n;  
    else return fibonacci(n-1) + fibonacci(n-2);  
}
```

7. Integration testing (6p)

- Name the types of additional scaffolding code that may be needed for integration testing.
- Give an advantage of path based testing over bottom up or top down integration testing.
- What is the role of regression testing?

8. Exploratory testing (4p)

- a. Define character and session for exploratory testing.
- b. List two advantages and one disadvantage of exploratory testing.

9. System-level testing (4p)

- a. Describe how transition based testing works and provide an example of a domain suited to such testing. (2p)
- b. Describe MM-path testing (1p)
- c. What is alpha and beta acceptance testing? (1p)

10. Model based testing 6p

- a. Give two examples of correctness properties that can be verified by model-based checking. 1p
- b. Name two limitations of model-based testing 2p
- c. Describe three activities in the model-based testing process. 3p