

Per Holmberg

Computer Architect
Ericsson

- This is from the viewpoint programming large scale applications on multicore processors.
- Views are my own.

- Getting parallelism in application
 - We know the application and are generally Ok in this.
 - Problems are local, to specific parts of SW, not large scale
 - but of course, that does not help that team....
- Expressing parallelism in SW
 - There is little new, communication systems are message based, distributed, in nature
 - starting-point of >100 processors → 1000 cores → 10K cores/threads
 - algorithms tends to be better handled in specialized HW accelerators when possible
 - interpreting algorithms in SW is neither very power nor cost efficient

- Most important things
 - Parallelism V.S. SW Robustness
 - Avoiding the worst error-prone constructs
 - Avoiding the hard to test ones
 - Parallelism V.S. Verification!
 - How to stress race conditions
 - Within reasonable test time
 - Parallelism V.S. Real Time
 - Guarantees by priority or placement?
 - Guarantees when bottleneck is somewhere else than in the core?
 - Parallelism V.S. Optimization
 - Parallelizing for multicore seems to be first proposal to any performance issue
 - Great risk of parallelizing inefficiency

-
-
-
- No difference as before: A, D, P and the different tasks during development (systemization, writing code, verification)
-
-
- numerical computing /algorithms – this is where parallel programming comes from, but now it is everywhere
-
-
-
- No.... this type of education is readily available in industry anyhow, university should focus on problem understanding.
-
-
- Same as today – revolutionary steps will be local, for example for DSP algorithms.
-
-
-
- It should be an integrated part of SW development, not a add-on at the end...